

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_126534
Title of the Manuscript:	A Fifty-Year Journey: Analyzing Fluctuations in India's GDP and Manufacturing Output
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> GDP and its fluctuations, along with the factors influencing them, are of paramount importance to any economy. This paper, particularly in countries like India, plays a crucial role. However, it's imperative for the writer to pay attention to citations, not only to acknowledge the work of others in the field but also to enhance the clarity and coherence of the research. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, it is 	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The researcher must reconsider some parts of the abstract section, particularly [the blue sentences shown in the original paper]. 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> They are acceptable. 	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The methodology and its findings are valid. However, their presentation and language require more consideration. Explicit language is crucial in ensuring the reader feels informed and knowledgeable. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> While the work is original, it's important to acknowledge the contributions of others through in-text citations. I tried looking for any, and it was challenging to determine the referencing style the writer used (it may be MLA or Chicago). To ensure the paper meets academic standards, it's crucial to use a consistent and appropriate referencing style. 	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The writer must rewrite the blue sentences and phrases for clarity and to help readers read easily (see the research paper). For clarity and simplicity, the researcher should split the underlined and blue sentences and put them in some paragraphs (see the original article). 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The introduction is well-structured. However, the literature review is a crucial component that needs to be included. Building on previous studies is not just essential, it's a cornerstone of academic research. The article is a testament to its originality. However, to ensure its quality and make it more accessible to a broader audience, thorough proofreading is essential. This step is not just a formality, it's a necessary part of the research process. 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abubakar El-Sidig Ali Ahmed Mahdi
Department, University & Country	Sudan