

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Bioresource Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJB2T_127013
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Seaweed-Enriched Cookies: A Nutritional and Functional Perspective</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review</b>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is novel	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes it is	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Ok	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, they are	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper has highlighted the work of seaweed in cookies production and the ability of the seaweed in cookies enhancement during production. I had problem with the table, the author need to look at the lines within the table and re-draw by presenting those table more scientifically	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Yes they are exception of GOI that was cited, needed to be stated in full under the reference	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes it is	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The review is quite ok, but needed a minor adjustment in the case of reviewing the cons in the work. Like telling us the challenges that might on the side the panellist in the case of sensory evaluation of the product.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ukoro Frank O</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Akperan Orshi Polytechnic, Nigeria</b>