

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_126583
Title of the Manuscript:	Product flow efficiency and financial benefit in the commercial soybean value chain system in Ghana
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it sheds light on the dynamics of product flow efficiency and financial benefits within the soybean value chain, a critical area for improving agricultural productivity and profitability. By analyzing both tangible and intangible financial benefits, the study offers a nuanced understanding of what motivates different chain actors, which can help optimize value chains in similar agricultural contexts. The findings on actors' perceptions highlight valuable insights into areas of non-value-adding yet necessary activities, emphasizing the potential for targeted improvements. I appreciate this manuscript for its practical implications and actionable recommendations, which can guide policymakers and chain facilitators in fostering a more efficient, value-driven agricultural ecosystem.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is scientifically robust, utilizing principal component analysis (PCA) and analysis of variance (ANOVA) to accurately assess value chain actors' perceptions. The reliability of measurements, supported by alpha coefficients above the conventional threshold, enhances the credibility of the findings. The clear distinction between tangible and intangible financial benefits provides a solid framework for understanding actors' motivations, making this manuscript a reliable contribution to agricultural value chain research.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K.M.N.T.K.Bandara
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Education, Sri Lanka