Review Form 3

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJAEES_126368**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Adoption of Improved Sugarcane Cultivation Practices among the Farmers in Sitapur District of Uttar Pradesh, India**
Type of the Article	**Research**

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	**Reviewer's comment**	**Author's Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript contributes to the adoption of improved sugarcane practices among farmers in India using descriptive method of research approach. It contributes to the scientific community in terms of knowledge and awareness creation of adoption which can enable farmers to accept the new sugarcane cultivation methods without resistance in India. **PLEASE SEE ATTAHMENT**	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The tittle of the article is suitable for publication.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive, but the authors should add the importance of adoption at the conclusion of the study.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The authors should work on the grammatical errors detected in the manuscript and improve the introduction by providing sources of information used in that section. The study lacks research gap and previous works done on sugarcane adoption; hence the authors need to bring the research gap to make reading interesting.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The authors should follow the journal's guidelines for references.	
Minor REVISION comments **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	It requires minor revisions.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is good for publication but requires minor revisions. No plagiarism is suspected in the manuscript	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**John Atsu Agbolosoo**
Department, University & Country	**Institut Pertanian Bogor (IPB) University, Indonesia**