

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJAEES_118882 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | IMPACT ON FARM INCOME BY JALASAMRUDHI PROJECT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM |
| Type of the Article | |

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>The manuscript presents a detailed analysis of the Jalasamrudhi watershed development program and its effect on farm income in the district of Thiruvananthapuram. This research is important as it gives empirical proof that shows how successful these watershed development programs can be in improving agricultural productivity and farmers' incomes. These results provide vital inputs into sustainable water management strategies and rural development important for policy makers and researchers in the field of agricultural economics and environmental studies.</p> <p>The current title, "Impact on Farm Income by Jalasamrudhi Project Thiruvananthapuram," is somewhat unclear. A more specific and descriptive title could be: "Evaluating the Impact of the Jalasamrudhi Watershed Development Project on Farm Income in Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala"</p> <p>The article's abstract is exhaustive as it sums up precisely the study's objective, methodology, important findings, and conclusions.</p> <p>The manuscript has been structured well. It starts with an introduction that contextualizes the background, followed by a detailed description of the methodology, results presentation, and discussion. The key findings and their implications are effectively summarized in the conclusion. Each section is well structured with enough information to comprehend how the study was conducted and its results.</p> <p>The manuscript appears to be scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references cited in the manuscript are sufficient and include recent studies relevant to the topic.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>The language quality used in the manuscript is generally appropriate for scholarly communication but some improvements need to be done to enhance clarity and readability. These are the specific observations and recommendations:</p> <p>Please re-check grammar, syntax, and clarity of sentences.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | No | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | E.P.N. Udayakumara |
| Department, University & Country | Sabaragamuwa University of Sri Lanka, Sri Lanka |