

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_126743
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review on the Shrinkage Properties of Concrete
Type of the Article	Commentary

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article entitled as "A Review on the shrinkage properties of concrete". But it is not prepared like a critical review article, theory copied from different sources without any diagrams, mechanisms etc. The idea about shrinkage is very important for the durability of structures, but the way authors presented in really not impressive.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, title is suitable but poor presentation of article. In current form, it is not recommended for the publication.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, just looks comprehensive without any technical exploration. Strongly recommended to explore other alternative factors and parameters with more critical analysis.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	There is nothing special provided in this article about which we can provide some scientific correctness. This looks like literature copied from some books and articles and pasted here. This is not a review article; we can say a short story on shrinkage of concrete.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Not sufficient references. Only 18 references and sources were provided and compared. If we say Shrinkage of concrete, authors can easily find more than 300 article, they can properly choose the articles and thoroughly work on different aspects.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Looks ok.	
Optional/General comments	I suggest to authors that download some good review articles, and choose any specific direction in the shrinkage field, don't select too generalized idea, better go for specific and more detailed information will be amazing.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Aslam
Department, University & Country	Wenzhou University of Technology, China