

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_126864
Title of the Manuscript:	Gender Disparity in Agricultural Resource Management: A study from Uttarakhand for Climate Mitigation and Sustainable Development
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript entitled “Gender disparity in Agricultural Resource Management: A study from Uttarakhand for Climate Mitigation and Sustainable Development”, is found to be an important topic as it's depicting the overall scenario of women involved in agriculture sector. As we know that agriculture provides 20.2% to the country's GDP. And women play an important role in agriculture sector, thus its important to know about the gender disparity going on in the society. Further the research has been done in a concrete locality of Mid hill and tarai region of Kumaun division in Uttarakhand which is very much important for the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title “Gender disparity in Agricultural Resource Management: A study from Uttarakhand for Climate Mitigation and Sustainable Development”, is technically not correct as the paper includes the data related to farm possession and Access and control over resources. The research paper does not involve any data related with climate mitigation. Thus, an alternative title has been given below: A study on “Gender Disparity in Agricultural Resource Management in the state of Uttarakhand”.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The author is needed to do some changes in the abstract. As the 1 st paragraph of the abstract and Introduction is written same which should be changed. Among the drudgery-prone occupations in the unorganized sector, where most women work, is agriculture. Planting, transplanting, weeding, thinning, harvesting, winnowing, processing, selling, storing, taking care of animals, kitchen gardening, and other chores are just a few of the numerous jobs that women perform in the agricultural industry. (this paragraph should be changed)	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The current research is found to be scientifically correct. The data collection tool is technically designed including all the aspects related with the topic, but needed slight modifications. The presentation of the data was done systematically. The research done was found to bring new insights among the researchers and the scientific community altogether.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Yes. In case of the references, they were recent and sufficient. Except one article which is considered to be outdated. Further some observations have been made such as the followings: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mahanta, B. and Nayak, P..2013. Gender Inequality in North East India. <i>MPRA Paper No. 43846</i>. Online at: https://mpra.ub.unimuenchen.de/43846/ (is outdated so it can be excluded and in place of that some new references can be given). • While writing the references, the author should follow the APA style for example: • Akter S., Rutsaert P., Luis J., Htwe N.M., San S.S. , Raharjo B., Pustaka A. (2017).Women's empowerment and gender equity in agriculture: A different perspective from Southeast Asia. <i>Food Policy</i>, 69:270-279. • Jayakumar N and Surudhi M. (2015). Gender Equality in Agricultural Education. <i>Journal of Extension Education</i>, 27(1):5388-5393. 	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language or the quality of the paper is found to be not up to the mark.</p> <p>In introduction a review has been given by Kabeer (2012) , but in bibliography it was reported as Kabeer (2019). Again in review it was written that Xenarios <i>et al</i>, 2017 but in bibliography it was mentioned 2016.</p> <p>While writing the methodology the sentence framing was found to be inappropriate. * the author can change the below mentioned sentences with the highlighted ones.</p> <p>Universe of the Study</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Uttarakhand is where the current study was carried out/ The current study was carried out in the state of Uttarakhand. The state of Uttarakhand is divided into 13 districts and two divisions, Kumaon and Garhwal and the districts namely, Uttarkashi, Deharadun, Rudraprayag, Pithoragarh, Pauri, Tehri, Champawat, Haridwar, Chamoli, Almora, Bageshwar, Nainital, and Udham Singh Nagar. <p>Locale of the Study Mid hill and tarai region of Kumaun division in Uttarakhand were selected for the study. (criteria of selection should be mentioned here as out of two division only one has been selected)</p> <p>Sampling Procedure A combination of purposeful and random sampling techniques were used to choose the study region and samples. The Almora and Udham Singh Nagar were chosen purposively for the current study. Further, Rudrapur and Gadarpur blocks were chosen randomly from Udham Singh Nagar district, while Takula and Dwarahat blocks were chosen randomly from Almora district. From each block two villages were chosen at random. Thus, the study sample consisted of eight villages and out of each village thirty farm women were selected. Hence a total of 240 farm women were selected as the respondents for the current study.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> While writing the conclusion it has been written that "The current study aimed to evaluate the disparity between genders in the agriculture sector's resource access in Almora district of Uttarakhand state. (While the study has included 2 districts Almora and Udham Singh Nagar, both). 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Alignment of the paragraphs is needed. For table 1, 2 and 3 the percentages should be shown in 2 decimals like 55.00, 23.20. Some minor changes has been done in the article itself. 	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Pompy Malakar</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Assam Agricultural University, India</p>