

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_126864
Title of the Manuscript:	Gender Disparity in Agricultural Resource Management: A study from Uttarakhand for Climate Mitigation and Sustainable Development
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It brings attention to existing disparities between men and women in access to agricultural resources, including land, finance, technology, training, and markets. Understanding these inequalities is essential for creating policies that address the root causes of gender gaps in agriculture.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Add the year of conduct of study • Include the statistical tools and methods used for data analysis 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author can add more discussion to the manuscript. Because that is missing. And the references should be taken care of while writing the years. While giving some country statistics give appropriate citation and the data should be correct (sex ratio of India)	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient. But there are differences in the year of work that are cited in text and in the reference. Similarly, some of the articles given in reference part is missing in the manuscript	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	No	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bhavana Sajeev
Department, University & Country	Kerala Agricultural University, India