

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_126881
Title of the Manuscript:	Locally advanced Left breast cancer in 53-year-old women: Report of a case
Type of the Article	Case report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript highlights the significant burden of breast cancer particularly locally advanced breast cancer among Indian women. It provides insights into current treatment approaches and patient outcomes, which can inform future research and potentially improve treatment protocols. I like the manuscript for its relevance to public health.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I think "Case Report of Locally Advanced Breast Cancer in a 53-Year-Old Woman: Diagnosis and Treatment Approach:	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically sound and technically robust as it describes a case of locally advanced breast cancer in a 52-year-old female, detailing her initial presentation, diagnosis, and treatment approach. The progression of the tumor size, along with specific treatments like paclitaxel neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by a left-modified radical mastectomy, aligns with standard practices for managing locally advanced breast cancer. It also presents a well-defined patient history and treatment sequence, which enhances its relevance as a case report for clinicians managing similar cases. Including standard therapeutic interventions provides valuable insight into effective treatment pathways for advanced breast cancer, adding to its scientific credibility.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marvis Ayoola Arowolo
Department, University & Country	University of Missouri, USA