

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_126540
Title of the Manuscript:	The use of photobiomodulation in the treatment of long COVID xerostomia. A randomized controlled pilot clinical trial.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript may assist to guide future treatment plans. Although there were no discernible improvements when compared to a Placebo, this shows that Photobiomodulation may be useful for symptom reduction in long-term sickness. I personally appreciate investigation being conducted because it may proceed into non-invasive treatments in near future for COVID-19 symptoms.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	In my views actually the title doesn't suits with the study conducted. I suggest the alternative title may be "The significant impact of Photobiomodulation versus Placebo Therapy in the treatment of Long COVID-19 Xerostomia: A Randomized Controlled Pilot Study."	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The methodology used in the Abstract should be a little more elaborated by mentioning the techniques adapted and defining procedure more closely.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	The sequence among the sentences in Methodology lacks understanding of procedure. In addition some knowledge about the patients' health conditions including their ages, sexes, and their familiarization with possibility of any dangers being faced may be included. Furthermore the locality where experiments were conduct has not been mentioned along with dates\time of data collection. Lastly, the analysis revealed that main focus of inquiry were the elderly people, its reason may be illustrated concisely.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	I believe this manuscript is scientifically well sounded and correct.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Yes, they are sufficient and recent	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	There are a lot of grammatical and spelling mistakes which may be corrected likewise; In the Abstract; Result Subsection, i. there was <b>may be replaced with</b> There were, ii. In the third line, it was found a difference <b>may be replaced with</b> , a difference was observed, iii. In the 7 <sup>th</sup> line, salivary glands could be study as <b>may be replaced with</b> , Salivary Glands could be studied, In Introduction; Hypotheses in the 4 <sup>th</sup> paragraph may be corrected with Hypothesis.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The sequence of procedure may be managed thoroughly by adding some information regarding procedure and design of the study conducted.	

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Hazrat Ali</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Abasyn University Peshawar, Pakistan</b>