

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_126662
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of harvesting stages on herbage and essential oil yield of rosemary (<i>Rosmarinus officinalis</i> L.) under northern dry zone of Karnataka
Type of the Article	The horticulture article manuscript

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1-The effect of different phonological stages of harvesting on the yield and quality of rosemary. 2-Comprising five different stages of harvesting namely vegetative stage, flower initiation stage, fifty per cent flowering stage, full bloom stage and seed setting stage. 3-Fifty per cent flowering stage can be considered as suitable harvesting stage of rosemary under northern dry zone of Karnataka.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It needs more to be concentration and also delete part of (Study design: Fisher's method of ANOVA Place and duration of study: Department of Plantation, Spices, Medicinal and Aromatic Crops, College of Horticulture, Bagalkot, Karnataka during rabi 2023-24).	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It need to be more clear , generally the Author should to add more references .	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The idea of manuscript is good but need to Cleary details write more than, for example (The observations on yield and quality parameters such as fresh herbage yield, dry herbage yield, essential oil content and essential oil yield were recorded) , What about the weather (sunny , . cloudy ..?). 2-What did the Weight of sample before and after dried?. 3-How did dry herbage yield ?.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Minor REVISION comments	Needs more cunctation , more dilates and more references	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sharkawy Sahar
Department, University & Country	Egypt