

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_126532
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative efficacy of various insecticides against flea beetle (<i>Phyllotreta cruciferae</i> Goeze and <i>Monolepta signata</i> Olivier), infesting rapeseed-toria (<i>Brassica rapa</i> L.) in Odisha
Type of the Article	Experimental / Research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Experimental work ➤ Biopesticides are evaluated ➤ Safety against natural enemies is evaluated ➤ Control of the pest flea beetle is highlighted 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<p>Slightly modified and modified title is given below</p> <p>Comparative efficacy of biopesticides & insecticides against flea beetle (<i>Phyllotreta cruciferae</i> Goeze & <i>Monolepta signata</i> Olivier), infesting <i>Brassica rapa</i> L. in Odisha</p>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is modified	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	OK	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>Field trial is carried out and two rabi seasons data were projected.</p> <p>Control of flea beetle on rapeseed is very important</p> <p>A lot of corrections have been done and the authors need to go through the text clearly. Red ink words for deletion and green ink words are addition</p>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	OK	
Minor REVISION comments	OK	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	After revision and incorporating all the suggestions, the manuscript may be published	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Varatharajan
Department, University & Country	Manipur University, India