

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJR2H_126618 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Evaluation of the Alterations in Haematological Parameters of Cervical Cancer Subjects in Port Harcourt |
| Type of the Article | |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The article presents the laboratory findings of 20 patients diagnosed with cervical cancer and their comparison with those recorded in a study group of 20 healthy controls. The article demonstrates that among cervical cancer, significant modifications in regard to haematological parameters are to be expected, demonstrating therefore, that the status of such cases can be easily understood only by looking at the hemograms at the time of diagnostic | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | yes | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | yes | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | yes | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | I think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound and therefore it can be published in the current form | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | yes | |
| Minor REVISION comments | yes | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| Name: | Nicolae Bacalbasa |
| Department, University & Country | Carol Davila Univeristy of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania |