

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_127098
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancing Growth and Nutrient Use Efficiency in Potato through Nano Urea and Zinc Sulfate Application in Haryana
Type of the Article	Research work

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is good because of the same work and same subject, the scientific work may help the other researcher to improve the field study and increase the production of crops	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Application of Nano Urea and Zinc Sulfate Nutrient to Enhancing Growth and Use Efficiency in Potato through in Haryana city	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is good but it need to mention some point like specific two seasons , Although mention the foliar spray which time of the plant live or size. The result mentions the maximum treatment with the number in the all traits compare with control	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes it is	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientific papers are the centre part of research work . based on the research experiment and methods Research design is suitable, and review the introduction is good, although the discussion is strongly encouraged, in this part it gave the deeper and strong explain of the mineral works in the plants	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, it is suitable and using the newest reference to support the manuscript	
Minor REVISION comments	yes it is appropriate and suitable for the manuscript	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	I suggest to add more treatment in this manuscript like nano zn alone and nano urea alone although add the interaction between them	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Husam Mohammed Muhi
Department, University & Country	University of Diyala, Iraq