

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_126145
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Integrated nutrient management on growth, development and yield contributing characters of Indian mustard (<i>Brassica juncea</i> L.) under Doon valley condition of Uttarakhand
Type of the Article	Research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript is of routine study. Moreover, paper needs major revision in terms of language, materials and methods as well as discussion. As the experiment was on nutrient management it is very important to mention initial available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium, organic carbon, pH of soil. Without these parameters, discussions of results of the experiment are incomplete.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Growth and yield of Indian mustard as affected by integrated nutrient management under Doon valley condition of Uttarakhand	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Some suggestions and corrections are mentioned in the manuscript.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Please check the manuscript with suggestions as track changes and comments for modification and rewrite accordingly. Great scope of improvement in results and discussion As the experiment was on nutrient management it is very important to mention initial available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium, organic carbon, pH of soil. Without these parameters, discussions of results of the experiment are incomplete. Scientific name should be written in <i>italics</i> . Maintain the writing style of unit same throughout the manuscript as per guideline of the Journal. One should maintain similar style either / or per or ⁻¹ . You have used "/" for some and " ⁻¹ " for others. Write detail about the treatments' application in terms of method and time. Rewrite the conclusion as per suggestion of the manuscript. Interpreting statistical analysis needs improvement.	

Review Form 3

Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Excessive references are mentioned in the reference section which are missing in the text, while some references are mentioned in the text but not in reference section. Please check the manuscript with comments attached herewith.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes But have scope of improvements.	
Optional/General comments	Comments are mentioned in the manuscript to improve quality of the script.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sontara Kalita
Department, University & Country	Assam Agricultural University, India