

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Dermatological Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRDES_126195
Title of the Manuscript:	HANSEN'S COMEDONICUS: BORDERLINE TUBERCULOID HANSEN'S DISEASE WITH COMEDONES A RARE PHENOMENA
Type of the Article	Case report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Hansen's Comedonicus: Borderline Tuberculoid Hansen's Disease with Comedones - A Rare Phenomena	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The proportion of childhood leprosy is a red flag marker of the efficacy of Leprosy Control Programmes as it represents active case transmission in the population. Lack of well-defined clinical signs in children make this section of population highly vulnerable for late diagnosis and leading to disabilities and complications. Prevalence of Hansen's disease has increased since the last decade with many atypical presentations which make it more difficult to diagnose. We present the case of a 13-year-old boy with Hansen's disease where anaesthetic plaques were associated with multiple comedones over them resulting into an unusual presentation.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The article should include information categorised under the following subsections as mentioned by the CARE reporting guidelines: Introduction, Patient Information, Clinical Findings, Diagnostic Assessment, Therapeutic Intervention, Follow-up and Outcome, Timeline, Discussion, Patient Perspective, Methods (IRB approval, Patient Consent), and Key Points	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The information in the article is scientifically correct but the disease pathology should be described in more detail. The existing information is not enough. Also, a few more keywords could be added.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The following are a few references that the author could look into, but should research more about the topic in question: Pensler MS, Hristov AC, Aravind MS. Chronic annular plaques with new peripheral follicular plugging. JAAD Case Reports. 2024 Jul 1;49:25-7.	

Review Form 3

	Lal NR. Papules: Localized. InAtlas of Clinical Dermatology in Coloured Skin 2023 (pp. 76-99). CRC Press. Sinha A, Natarajan S. Linear morhpea, nevus comedonicus, and lichen striatus in a 5-year-old girl. Pediatric dermatology. 2011 Jan 1;28(1).	
<u>Minor REVISION</u> comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The article needs to be revised for grammatical errors. There is improper sentence formation with errors in the tense. Some statements lack flow representing the information in blotches. The figure descriptions need to be framed correctly as well and must be put in order.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is a very good case report if the revision by the author is framed correctly.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mitali Choudhary
Department, University & Country	Tata Memorial Hospital and Cancer Research Centre, India