

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_126327
Title of the Manuscript:	Assesment Of Women's Involvement In Forest Governance In Five Communities Within Kori Chiefdom, Southern Sierra Leone.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is valuable for its focus on gender inclusion in sustainable forestry, offering actionable recommendations like scholarships and policy reforms. It addresses critical gaps, promoting equitable, inclusive forest management practices globally.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, "Advancing Gender Inclusion in Sustainable Forest Management: Empowerment through Education, Governance, and Policy Reform,"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract should concisely summarize the manuscript's objectives, methods, key findings, and implications. It must clearly state the study's aim of emphasizing gender inclusion in sustainable forest management. Additionally, it should outline the research methods, highlight significant results regarding gender empowerment, and discuss how these findings contribute to policy discussions and global sustainable development goals, enhancing the manuscript's overall clarity and impact.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the manuscript's subsections and structure are appropriate, effectively organizing content and facilitating understanding. The logical progression from introducing gender inclusion issues to specific recommendations enhances coherence, while clear headings improve readability and accessibility for the scientific community.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript showcases scientific correctness through a rigorous examination of gender inclusion in sustainable forest management. Its evidence-based research, empirical data, and relevant literature provide a strong foundation, reinforcing the validity of its findings and alignment with global sustainable development goals.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	The references in the manuscript are both sufficient and relevant, covering a range of literature related to gender inclusion and sustainable forest management.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the manuscript's language is suitable for scholarly communication, using clear terminology and well-structured sentences. However, minor grammatical issues could be addressed through proofreading to enhance overall clarity and academic rigor.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript effectively addresses the critical issue of gender inclusion in sustainable forest management, emphasizing women's empowerment through education and governance. It presents a thorough analysis of current practices, identifies policy gaps, and offers credible, evidence-based recommendations for scholarships and research participation quotas. While well-structured and accessible, minor grammatical issues could be improved through proofreading. Overall, it significantly contributes to research and policy discussions in the field.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	J. Suresh Kumar
Department, University & Country	St. Joseph University, India