

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_126327
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF WOMEN'S INVOLVEMENT IN FOREST GOVERNANCE IN FIVE COMMUNITIES WITHIN KORI CHIEFDOM, SOUTHERN SIERRA LEONE.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript contains a very basic topics and data presented here will help to understand the representation and participation practice of Women in Forest Management of the study area. This paper concludes as the example of perfect representation of women in Community forest management even in decision making. This study mostly contains the presentation of data collected and their explanation. There is no comparative analysis. Lots of literature found in this topics where this study result should be compared for better analysis. Recommendation part is missing in this paper. There are several grammatical and punctuation error in the paper. I like the theme of the research.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	A shorter title would be better.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	You need to all some points in the abstract. Your abstract is not summarizing all of the conclusion and recommendation parts of your research	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure of conclusion should be changed and should describe in multiple paragraphs and mixed of paragraph and bulleted form. Instead of concluding each objective separately.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This research seems as just a survey and presentation of data from survey. It should be better if the data are analysed using different statistical tools and compared and analysed to similar research and policy instruments.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Sufficient	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Writing should be in academic format.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anita Dahal
Department, University & Country	Pokhara University, Nepal