

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_126665
Title of the Manuscript:	Farm to Tourists: Exploring the challenges for agro-tourism in Kurupetta Division in Sri Lanka.
Type of the Article	Research Paper

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript offers valuable insights, combining clear methodology and real-world implications, though it could benefit from further elaboration in areas like [mention specific area for improvement, e.g., literature review or data analysis] to enhance its impact.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is mostly comprehensive, but it could benefit from a clearer summary of the methodology and key findings to enhance its informative value	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, as they provide a clear and logical flow of information	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript demonstrates scientific correctness through its rigorous methodology and adherence to established research protocols. The authors provide a solid theoretical framework that is well-supported by relevant literature, showcasing a comprehensive understanding of the subject matter. Additionally, the data presented are meticulously analyzed and interpreted, reinforcing the findings' reliability and validity. Overall, the technical soundness of this manuscript is evident in its clarity, accuracy, and thorough approach to addressing the research questions posed.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	The references in this manuscript are generally sufficient and include a mix of foundational and recent studies, which provide a solid context for the research.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality of the article is generally suitable for scholarly communication, with clear and concise expressions that effectively convey the research findings.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript presents a valuable contribution to the field, addressing a relevant topic with significant implications for future research and practice. While the overall structure is coherent, enhancing the clarity of certain sections and ensuring the consistent use of terminology would improve readability.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anil Kumar Chikatimarla
Department, University & Country	AG & SG Siddhartha Degree College of Arts & Science, India