

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_127028
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic growth and income inequality in sub-Saharan Africa: does environmental policy matter?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The importance of the manuscript to the scientific community includes 1. Contribution to the debate on the relationship between the variables under study. 2. It focuses on Sub-Saharan Africa, a region experiencing rapid economic growth and facing significant environmental challenges. 3. Use of advanced econometric techniques and data analysis, contributing to methodological advancement in the field. 4. Provision of empirical evidence for the effectiveness of environmental policy in addressing income inequality in developing regions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive only that it fails to vividly state both the practical and theoretical implications of the study.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate only that both conceptual and empirical review were absent in the study.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study's methodological rigor, data quality and contextual relevance contribute to its scientific robustness and technical soundness.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	The reference is both not sufficient and recent	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language needs to be improved	
Optional/General comments		
	The study focuses partially on practical gap and failed to vividly address both theoretical and evidential gap	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdulrazaq Suleiman Ibrahim
Department, University & Country	University of Maiduguri, Nigeria