

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMPS_127003</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Exploring Latest Technologies: Current Position &amp; Challenges in the Domain of Medicine, A Narrative Review</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Minireview Article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It offers a thorough examination of the developing medical technology. It clarifies both the developments and difficulties in incorporating new technologies, which is essential for making well-informed decisions in medical research and practice. A larger audience, including researchers, physicians, and policymakers, can better understand complicated subjects because to the narrative review format's ability to integrate several points of view. I value the manuscript's thorough approach, which promotes a balanced vision of the future of medicine by highlighting both the technologies' potential and limitations.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is suitable. No modification suggested.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsection 6-self healing material cannot be categorized as a technology. So authors are suggested to have a separate section defined for this. In discussions, one subsection could be technologies and another could be about materials.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	By referencing a broad variety of recent literature, the document exhibits scientific rigor and guarantees that the data is current and representative of the most recent developments in medical technology. It offers a comprehensive examination of the problems and correctly identifies the main obstacles in the sector, including ethical dilemmas, legal restrictions, and technological constraints. The review's technical soundness is improved by its evidence-based conclusions and citations from reliable sources. The manuscript's scientific robustness is further supported by the technique utilized to assess and synthesize different studies, making it a trustworthy resource for medical researchers and practitioners. However detailed elaboration of how these technologies can be leveraged can be discussed by authors.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient and recent	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	as discussed earlier, detailed elaboration of how these technologies can be leveraged can be discussed by authors.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jyoti Sunil More</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, India</b>