

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_127141
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of mango varieties against <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> and its management through biocontrol agents and fungicides
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Anthrachnose of mango is a major post-harvest disease that causes yield loss and deteriorates the quality of mango fruits. While studies have been conducted on chemical management, research related to screening and biological management is limited	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Screening of mango varieties against anthracnose diseases caused by <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> and its management through biocontrol agents and fungicides	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Corrections are made in manuscript	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Different isolates and their cultural characteristics were studied. Manuscripts includes the screening of varieties and management includes both biological and chemicals controls. Research paper covers many aspects related to the disease. Therefore, it is scientifically more robust.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	add some recent references	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Use scientific language	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ranapratap Anaji Raut
Department, University & Country	Dr. BSKKV., India