

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_126784
Title of the Manuscript:	Establishment of In-vitro Regeneration Protocol of Aegle Marmelos (L.): A Sacred Medicinal Tree.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript tells about establishment of regeneration protocol of aegle marmelos (L.). This tree is medicinally and mythologically very important. 2. The study tells a easy method of regeneration. 3. It tells an easy method of shooting, rooting and hardening. 4. I like this manuscript because using seeds its very difficult for large scale cultivation of bael tree. Micropropagation method really a nice method not only useful for researchers but for the people too who are involved in medicinal plants/trees cultivation for sale. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, Aegle marmelos (L.): A Holy Medical Tree—The Development of an In Vitro Regeneration Method.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes but the bael tree is mythologically important and medicinally too. Why medicinally, please add 1-2 sentences about that.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Method is easy and practically possible 2. Large scale cultivation is possible which is needed because of its importance in treatment of many diseases. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient but lack of recent references.	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Some sentences are not giving proper meaning like the fourth sentence of abstract. So, please read again and correct sentences like these. 2. Scientifically written in a correct way. 3. Structure of the manuscript is proper. 4. Add future outcomes in the conclusion. 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tapan Kumar Mahato
Department, University & Country	Sardar Patel College of Pharmacy, India