

# **Incidence of Banana pseudostem weevil, *Odoiporous longicollis* Olivier (Coleoptera:Curculionidae) in major banana growing districts of Northern Karnataka**

## **ABSTRACT**

A roving survey during 2020-21 was undertaken in different banana growing districts of Northern Karnataka to study the prevalence and the magnitude of the infestation of the banana pseudostem weevil, *O. longicollis* and to know the varietal reaction and preference to the banana pseudostem weevil. Observations of the survey revealed that the districts wise mean per cent infestation varied from 3.73 in Belagavi to 15.35 in Vijayanagar district. The maximum mean per cent infestation was recorded in Vijayanagar (15.35) and Kalaburgi (14.67) districts. This was followed by the lowest infestation in Belagavi (3.73) district. The mean per cent infestation varied from 2.50 in Rajapuri variety to 22.53 in Sugandhi cultivar across the varieties in different districts. In respect to varieties, Sugandhi banana recorded maximum level of infestation (22.53) followed by Red banana (22.00), whereas, Elakki recorded 12.53 and 11.33 mean percent infestation in Vijayanagar and Uttara Kannada districts. The variety, Grand-Naine was cultivated in all the districts, while Red banana, Sugandhi and Sakkare bale were cultivated only in Kalaburgi and Vijayanagar districts. The mean damage grade index of banana pseudostem weevil across seven varieties in seven districts of North Karnataka revealed highest damage grade index in Red banana (0.98) at Kalaburgi followed by Sugandhi (0.75) in Vijayanagar district. There were statistically significant differences in per cent infestation among seven varieties and also among seven districts. Hence, the study highlighted the infestation of banana pseudostem weevil varied in North Karnataka based on the variety, cultivation practices and geographical location.

Keywords: *Odoiporus*, banana, pseudostem weevil, infestation, damage grade

## INTRODUCTION

Banana (*Musa* sp.) is the second most important fruit crop in India next to mango. Over nineteen species of insects have been reported to infest banana cultivars (Padmanaban et al. 2001). One important pest is the banana pseudostem borer, *Odoiporus longicollis* Olivier (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Also known as banana stem weevil (BSW). It can cause substantial damage in terms of production and productivity of banana (David 2008). The banana stem weevil *Odoiporus longicollis* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) is one of the most destructive pests that is widely distributed all over the world, particularly in tropical and subtropical countries. This pest causes extensive stem damage because the banana plantain is propagated by suckers, which are often infected by these pests from the corm region to the entire stem portion of the plants. This results in reduced fruit and bunch size and reduced uptake of nutrients from the entire stem portion, leading to toppling of plants (Alagesan *et al.*, 2016; Padmanaban et al., 2001). Adult weevils often confine themselves within the pseudostem and also in the decomposing tissues of the infested banana plant. All the life stages of the weevils are present throughout the year, and adult weevils are strong fliers moving from one plant to another for feeding. Through feeding, the larvae move athwart horizontally or in an accumbent direction boring into the core stem along with the inner leaf sheaths (Azam et al., 2010). This pest infestation has a severe impact on banana production, leading to higher yield losses. The present study was conducted to know the incidence level of the pest. Therefore, this survey to document the incidence and varietal response of banana pseudostem weevil aims to fulfill the knowledge gap to farmers of northern Karnataka by conducting an thorough survey to study the incidence patterns of the banana pseudostem weevil. Additionally, the study aims to explore the preferences of *O. longicollis* towards different banana varieties. Through these efforts, survey aims to provide valuable insights that can serve as a crucial foundation to know the incidence level of BPW in northern Karnataka and its impact on banana cultivation.

## Material and Methods:

A roving survey was undertaken in different banana growing districts of Northern Karnataka during 2020-21 to study the prevalence and the extent of infestation of the banana pseudostem weevil (BPW) *O.longicollison* important banana varieties. The details of surveyed areas are listed in the Table 1. In each district, twenty fields were surveyed. In each field, five spots were fixed randomly and observations were made from ten plants in each spot to document the number of infested plants and the percent infestation was worked out by the below mentioned formula

$$\text{Per cent infestation (\%)} = \frac{\text{Total number of infested plants}}{\text{Total number of plants observed}} \times 100$$

The infestation on pseudostem was recorded looking in to the presence of bored holes and the same was converted to damage grade. The infestation level of pseudostem weevil was assessed on 0-6 (table2.) damage grade following the modified method of Padbhanaban *et al.* (2009).

**Table 1. The details of surveyed areas to study the incidence of Banana pseudostem weevil**

| Sl.No | District       | Taluk     | Name of the Villages  | Varieties                                  |
|-------|----------------|-----------|---|--|
| 1     | Vijayanagar    | Hosapete  | T.B.Dam, Hampi, Kamalapur, Hosur, Hosakoti, Kaddirampur and Chittavadagi  | Sugandhi, Elakki and Sakkarebale           |
|       |                | Kampli    | Kampli, Bukkasagar, Ramasagar   | Sugandhi, Elakki and Sakkarebale           |
| 2.    | Koppal         | Koppal    | Mellikeri, Kunikeri, Koppal and Talakal.  | Williams.GrandNaine, and Elakki            |
| 3.    | Uttara Kannada | Sirsi     | Kadagoda,Banavasi, Andgigamma, Kuppagadde, Pharsigamma,Unchalli, Gudnapur, Madhuravalliu                        | Elakki, Grand Naine                        |
| 4.    | Bagalkot       | Badami    | Badami,Cholachgudda, Belur, S.N.Timmapur, Kulgeri   | Williams, Grand NaineElakki and Rajapuri   |
| 5.    | Belagavi       | Gokak     | Gokak, Arabhavi, Mudalagi, Kulgod, Buluvala   | Grand Naine, Elakki, Rajapuri              |
|       |                | Saudatti  | Munuvalli, Savadatti, Ghataprabha, Kallolli ,Konnur, Yaragatti  | Williams, Grand Naine, Elakki and Rajapuri |
| 6.    | Dharwad        | Dharwad   | Yettinagudda, Hebballi, Govinakoppa, Gongadikoppa, Kavalageri, Amminabhavi, Benakanamatti, Dandikoppa, Maradagi | Grand Naine,                               |
| 7.    | Kalaburgi      | Kamalapur | Kamalapur   | Redbanana, Grand Naine                     |

**Table2 :Damage grade index of the banana pseudostem weevil**

| <b>Damage grade</b> | <b>Symptom index</b>  |
|---------------------|---|
| <b>0</b>            | Plants with no symptoms on pseudostem   |
| <b>1</b>            | Plants with 1 to 10 bored holes on the pseudostem                               |
| <b>2</b>            | Plants with 11 to 20 bored holes on the pseudostem                              |
| <b>3</b>            | Plants with 21 to 40 bored holes on the pseudostem                              |
| <b>4</b>            | Plants with 41 to 60 bored holes on pseudostem                                  |
| <b>5</b>            | Plants with 61 to 80 bored holes on pseudo stem                                 |
| <b>6</b>            | Plants with >80 bored holes on pseudo stem and pseudostem about break or broken |

Further, mean damage grade index was worked out using the below mentioned formula

$$\text{Mean Grade Index} = \frac{\sum DG \times NP}{TP}$$

Where,

DG = Damage Grade

NP = No. of plants affected in that grade

TP = Total number of plants observed

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:**

Survey for the incidence of banana pseudostem weevil (BPW) and understanding its bioecology in banana growing areas of Northern Karnataka and varietal response to weevil. The data on the incidence and damage grade of banana pseudostem weevil, *O. longicollis* in seven districts and different varieties of North Karnataka and during 2020-21 are presented in Tables 3 and 4.

### **Districts and varietywise per cent infestation of banana pseudostem weevil**

The infestation of banana pseudostem weevil varied from one location to another based on variety, cultivation practices and geographical positions. The districtwise mean percent infestation varied from 3.73 in Belagavi to 15.35 in Vijayanagar district. The maximum mean per cent infestation was recorded in Vijayanagar (15.35) and Kalaburgi (14.67) districts. This was followed by the lowest infestation in Belagavi (3.73) district. The mean per cent infestation varied from 2.50 in Rajapuri variety to 22.53 in Sugandhi cultivar across the varieties in different districts. In respect to varieties, Sugandhi banana recorded maximum level of infestation (22.53) followed by Red banana (22.00) both were propagated by suckers and rhizomes. The variety, Elakki recorded 12.53 and 11.33 mean percent infestation in Vijayanagar and Uttara Kannada districts. The variety, Grand-Naine was cultivated in all the districts, while Red banana, Sugandhi and Sakkare bale were cultivated only in Kalaburgi and Vijayanagar districts. There were statistically significant differences in per cent infestation among seven varieties and also among seven districts. This suggests that the infestation of banana pseudostem weevil varied in North Karnataka based on the variety, cultivation practices and geographical location.

#### **Districts and varietywise mean damage grade index of banana pseudostem weevil**

The mean damage grade index of banana pseudostem weevil across seven varieties in seven districts of North Karnataka was calculated. The mean damage grade index varied from 0.12 to 0.48 in Elakki variety across the districts. Further, it was much lower in Grand -Naine varying from 0.00 to 0.33. In rest of the varieties, the MDGI was less than 0.30 excepting Sugandhi in Vijayanagar district which recorded 0.75. When the value of the MDGI was compared among the districts, it varied from 0.15 to 0.58. The MDGI was very high (0.98) in Red banana at Kalaburgi. These observations suggest that the MDGI was generally lower in banana varieties tested across seven North districts of Karnataka barring Sugandhi and Red banana varieties.

The infestation of banana pseudostem weevil varied from one location to another based on variety, cultivation practices and geographical positions. The maximum per cent infestation was recorded in Vijayanagar and Kalaburgi districts. The lowest infestation was in Belagavi district. The per cent infestation varied from 2.50 in Rajapuri variety to 22.53 in Sugandhi cultivar across the varieties of the different districts. In respect to varieties, Sugandhi banana recorded maximum level of infestation followed by Red banana. Both were propagated by

suckers/rhizomes. The mean damage grade index of banana pseudostem weevil across seven varieties in seven districts of North Karnataka revealed highest damage grade index in Red banana (0.98) at Kalaburgi followed by Sugandhi(0.75) in Vijayanagar district. The weevil distribution and severity of infestation was influenced by the varieties cultivated, cultural practices, plant protection measures and geographical location in each district. The present findings are in conformity with earlier researchers as Thippaiah *et al.* (2010) carried out a survey in 15 southern districts of Karnataka and revealed maximum infestation of BPW in Chandrabale (17.66 %) in Shimoga and the lowest in Boodabale (1.60 %) in Kodagu district. Shukla and Kumar (1970) reported 70 per cent infestation of pseudostem weevil on plantains in Uttar Pradesh. Jayanthi and Verghese (1999) and Visalakshi *et al.* (1989) reported 100 per cent infestation in Nendran and Elakki varieties in Kerala. Azam *et al.* (2010), Anitha and Nair (2004), Janakiraman, 1998 and Gailce *et al.*, (2006) recorded pseudostem weevil for the first time in Poonch and Rajouri districts of Jammu region and recorded 47.00 to 61.20 per cent damage with a mean of 54 percent and also they documented that each variety of banana responds differently to the banana weevil depending on the climate and soil condition. Anitha and Nair (2004) documented 100 per cent loss in Elakki banana and Nendran, respectively. Further Gailce *et al.* (2006) reported maximum incidence of BPW in Nendran variety (93.3%) followed by Poovan (80%), Robusta (66.7%) and Kappa (60 %).

### **Conclusion:**

In the present investigation, the incidence of the weevil on popular banana varieties grown in major banana growing districts of Northern Karnataka revealed variation in BPW across locations, varieties and cultivation practices. The maximum per cent infestation was recorded in Vijayanagar and Kalaburgi followed by lowest infestation in Belagavi districts. Across the varieties maximum per cent infestation was recorded in Sugandhi followed by Red banana and Elakki. Whereas, the lowest was recorded in Rajapuri. It was observed in the survey that the infestation level of pseudostem weevil was more in Sugandhi, Red banana and Elakki varieties especially on ratoon crop. Some of the cultural practices such as leaving the pseudostem of the harvested plants in the field and lack of field sanitation also influenced higher infestation.

From the observed variations in the survey experiment on incidence of banana pseudostem weevil, *Odoiporus longicollis* Olivier in major banana growing districts and varieties of northern Karnataka it is going to be concluded that banana pseudostem weevil infestation rates across different places and varieties emphasize the influence of climatic factors and agricultural practices on pseudostem weevil populations. Moreover, the susceptibility of banana varieties to infestation underscores the importance of crop selection in pest management strategies. These findings contribute to our understanding of *O. longicollis* in different parts of north Karnataka and variety susceptibility to pest and to offer valuable implications for pest control and banana cultivation practices.

### References :

Anitha N, Nair., 2004, Clonal susceptibility and age preference of banana pseudostem weevil, *Odoiporus longicollis*. 2004. *Insect and Environment*; 10:132-134.

Azam, M, Tara, J. S., Shaloo Ayri, Mohd Feroz and Ramamurthy, V. V., 2010, Bionomics of *Odoiporus longicollis* Olivier (Coleoptera: Rhynchophoridae) on banana Plant (*Musa paradisiaca*). *Munis Entomol. Zool.*, **5** (2): 627-635.

F.A.O. 1985, *Production Yearbook*, Food and Agriculture Organisation, Rome.

Gailce. L. J., Leelamathi, M. and Nirmal Johnson, S.M., 2006, Bionomics and management of pseudostem weevil *Odoiporus longicollis* Olivier (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) in the banana. *Agric. Sci. Dig.*, **26**: 117-119.

Isahaque, N.M.M., 1978, A note on the incidence of *Odoiporus longicollis* Olivo. on banana in Assam. *Pesticides*, **12**: 22-24.

Janakiraman, S., 1998, Biology and management of banana pseudostem borer, *Odoiporus longicollis* Olivo. (Curculionidae: Coleoptera). *M Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu*.

Padmanaban B, Sundararaju P, Sathiamoorthy S.,2001, Incidence of banana pseudostem borer, *O. longicollis*Oliv. (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) in banana peduncle . Indian Journal of Entomology.63(2):204.

Padmanaban, B., Sundararaju, P. and Sathiamoorthy, S., 2001, Incidence of banana pseudotem borer, *Odoiporuslongicollis*(Oliv.) (Curculionidae: Coleoptera) in banana. *Indian J. Entomol.*, **64**: 29-34.

Visalakshi, A., Nair, G. M., Beevi, S. N. and Amma, A. M. K., 1989, Occurrence of *Odoiporuslongicollis*Olivier (Coleoptera:Curculionidae) as a pest of banana in Kerala. *J. Entomol*, **14**(4): 367-368.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**Table 3. Incidence of banana pseudostem weevil in different banana growing districts of Northern Karnataka during 2020-21**

| Districts      | Varieties (%BPW infestation) |          |          |        |            |          |              | MEAN  |
|----------------|------------------------------|----------|----------|--------|------------|----------|--------------|-------|
|                | Grand Naine                  | Williams | Rajapuri | Elakki | Red Banana | Sugandhi | Sakkare Bale |       |
| Bagalkote      | 5.14                         | 9.00     | 0.00     | 6.00   | -          | -        | -            | 5.03  |
| Belagavi       | 2.44                         | -        | 5.00     | 3.75   | -          | -        | -            | 3.73  |
| Dharwad        | 5.57                         | -        | -        | -      | -          | -        | -            | 5.57  |
| Kalaburgi      | 7.33                         | -        | -        | -      | 22.00      | -        | -            | 14.67 |
| Koppal         | 1.60                         | 8.00     | -        | 3.00   | -          | -        | -            | 4.20  |
| Uttara Kannada | 0.00                         | -        | -        | 11.33  | -          | -        | -            | 5.67  |
| Vijayanagara   | 12.00                        | -        | -        | 12.53  | -          | 22.53    | 14.33        | 15.35 |
| <b>MEAN</b>    | 4.86*                        | 8.50*    | 2.50*    | 9.72   | 22.00      | 22.53    | 14.33*       | 7.74  |
| <b>t value</b> | -2.42                        | -3.90    | -10.98   | -0.31  | -1.72      | -1.66    | -3.18        |       |
| <b>p value</b> | 0.026                        | 0.004    | 0.001    | 0.348  | 0.068      | 0.074    | 0.009        |       |

Figures in table are the percent infestation based on number of plants infested.\* statistically significant at 5% by t-test.

**Table 4. Damage grade index of banana pseudostem weevil across varieties in different districts of Northern Karnataka during 2020-21**

| Districts      | Damage grade index in different varieties |          |             |            |         |          |          | MEAN |
|----------------|---|----------|-------------|------------|---------|----------|----------|------|
|                | Elakki                                    | Sugandhi | Grand Naine | Red banana | Sakkare | Williams | Rajapuri |      |
| Uttara Kannada | 0.48                                      | -        | 0.00        | -          | -       | -        | -        | 0.24 |
| Bagalkote      | 0.16                                      | -        | 0.16        | -          | -       | 0.28     | 0.00     | 0.15 |
| Belagavi       | 0.12                                      |          | 0.04        | -          | -       | -        | 0.09     | 0.08 |
| Vijayanagara   | 0.45                                      | 0.75     | 0.33        | -          | 0.20    | -        | -        | 0.43 |
| Koppal         | 0.28                                      |          | 0.07        | -          | -       | 0.20     | -        | 0.18 |
| Dharwad        | -   | -        | 0.19        | -          | -       | -        | -        | 0.19 |
| Kalaburgi      | -   | -        | 0.18        | 0.98       | -       | -        | -        | 0.58 |