

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_126494
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPATIBILITY OF ENDOPHYTIC <i>Colletotrichum nigrum</i> WITH VELUM® (SYNTHETIC NEMATOCIDE) AGAINST ROOT-KNOT NEMATODES ON TREE TOMATO
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Authors need to address following points and resubmit.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscript seems very interesting and contain a huge data and also can be a good contribution to the scientific community. But it cannot be accepted in the present form.</li> <li>2. I strongly recommend the revision of the manuscript including abstract, methodology, results and also discussion. As it contains a huge data on various aspects. It is creating confusions and unable to put precise and significant outcome.</li> <li>3. Abstract needs to be rewrite as it given very detail as well as incomplete results. Methodology needs to incorporate some parameters included in the results. Discussion is not precise, clear and parallel with the results.</li> <li>4. A literature review supporting the earlier reports of the same studies needs to be incorporated.</li> <li>5. Grammatically Ms needs to be improved.</li> </ol>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Needs to be reframed</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Abstract needs to be rewrite as it given very detail as well as incomplete results. Methodology needs to incorporate some parameters included in the results. Discussion is not precise, clear and parallel with the results.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>As it contains a huge data on various aspects. It is creating confusions and unable to put precise and significant outcome.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>MS contains significant work and data. But but MS should be rechecked for the grammatical mistakes.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>A literature review supporting the earlier reports of the same studies needs to be incorporated</p>	

**Review Form 3**

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	-	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Varsha S. Nimbalkar
Department, University & Country	Sarhad College of Arts, Commerce and Science, India