

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_126494
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPATIBILITY OF ENDOPHYTIC <i>Colletotrichum nigrum</i> WITH VELUM® (SYNTHETIC NEMATOCIDE) AGAINST ROOT-KNOT NEMATODES ON TREE TOMATO
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is relevant to plant nematology its resourceful as it gives an insight on the possibility of combining chemical nematicides with other biological control agent such as endophytic fungi as demonstrated in the study. Personally I like the manuscript because of the manner in which the author (s) expresses the results. More so, The manuscript is highly informative and educative.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, it needs some modification. So this is my suggestion; Compatibility Of Endophytic Fungi (<i>Colletotrichum nigrum</i>) With Velum® (Synthetic Nematicide) Against Root-Knot Nematodes On Tree Tomato. However, it will be preferable if the author (s) will be specific on the nematode used for the study.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is okay	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes they are okay	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is informative and educative to researchers in the field are plant nematology, also the result outcome is well written and its presented in a manner in which a lay man can easily comprehend.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient however, some references are not up-to-date.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is	
Optional/General comments		

Comment [Peter P.1]: Specify, Which nematode in particular

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Peter Philip
Department, University & Country	MAU, Nigeria

