

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_126480
Title of the Manuscript:	Integrated Nutrient Management: A roadmap for improving the Soil Sustainability and Crop Productivity - A review
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides valuable insights and a comprehensive overview of nutrient management, which is crucial for good knowledge in this area. The authors have synthesized relevant findings, highlighting both the progress and challenges, which will benefit researchers by offering a consolidated resource for future studies. I appreciate the clarity and depth of the review, as it effectively identifies gaps in the literature and suggests directions for further research. Overall, this manuscript contributes meaningfully to the scientific community and will be a useful reference for both new and experienced researchers in the field.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. Title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. Abstract is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust, as it is well-supported by a comprehensive range of current and relevant references, demonstrating a thorough understanding of the field. The authors have presented the clear, logical sequences, which enhances the technical soundness of their arguments. The methodologies and criteria for selecting studies appear rigorous and unbiased, ensuring that the conclusions drawn are reliable and reproducible. Overall, the manuscript provides a solid and accurate representation of the state of the field, contributing meaningfully to scientific knowledge.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Yes. The references are sufficient as per the review paper and mostly are recent. However, the year of publishing of 32 nd reference is missing and the symbol of colon is used mistakenly after semicolon after year of publishing of 44 th reference. The year of publishing of 49 th reference is mistakenly typed at wrong place.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article is very good but authors can add the challenges, advantages and disadvantages of the integrated nutrient management for the farmers, environment and crops in separate sections in this article (Optional).	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jay Nath Patel
Department, University & Country	Abhilashi University, India