

Comparative Efficacy of Selected Chemicals and Bio-pesticides against GramPodBorer [*Helicoverpaarmigera* (Hubner)] on Chickpea (*Cicerarietinum* L.)

Abstract

The experiment was conducted at Central Research Farm (CRF), Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj during *Rabiseason* in 2023-24. The experiment was carried out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with eight treatments viz., Neem oil 2% @ 2ml/lit, *Beauveria bassiana* 1×10^{10} conidia/ml @ 2gm/lit, Profenofos 40% + Cypermethrin 4% @ 3ml/lit, *Bacillusthuringiensis* @ 2gm/lit, Indoxacarb 14.5SC @ 0.5ml/lit, Spinosad 45 SC @ 0.5ml/lit, Emamectin benzoate 5% SG @ 1gm/lit and untreated control, with *threereplications*. The data recorded on larval population of pod borer (*Helicoverpaarmigera*) on third, seventh and fourteen days after two sprays revealed that Emamectin benzoate 5% SG was superior among all treatments with larval population of 2.12 and with highest cost benefit ratio and marketable yield of 1:3.65 and 29.16q/ha, followed by Spinosad 45 SC with a larval population of 2.42 and cost benefit ratio and yield 1:3.14 and 26.66 q/ha, Indoxacarb 14.5 SC with a larval population, cost benefit ratio and yield of 2.57, 1:3.12 and 25.83q/ha, Profenofos 40% + Cypermethrin 4% EC with a larval population, cost benefit ratio and yield of 2.79, 1:2.81 and 22.5q/ha, *Bacillusthuringiensis* @ 5mg/ml with larval population, cost benefit ratio and yield of 3.04, 1:2.79 and 21.25q/ha, *Beauveria bassiana* @ 1×10^{10} conidia/ml with larval population, cost benefit ratio and yield of 3.25, 1:2.26, 17.08q/ha.

Keywords: Bio-pesticides, Chickpea, Chemical, Cost benefit ratio, Efficacy, *Helicoverpaarmigera*, Larval population, yield

Comment [H1]: space

Comment [H2]: space

Comment [H3]: space

Comment [H4]: space and alignment

Comment [H5]: space

Comment [H6]: small letter

Introduction

Gram, commonly known as a 'chickpea' or chana, is a very important pulse crop that grows as a seed of a plant named *Cicer arietinum* in the Leguminosae family.

Comment [H7]: space

India is the largest chickpea producer as well as a consumer in the world. Chickpea is the world's third most important legume crop. However, the most important chickpea producing countries are India, Turkey, Pakistan, Iran, Mexico, Australia, Ethiopia, Myanmar, and Canada. Chickpea is currently grown on about 11 million hectares worldwide with 65 and 8 per cent share belonging to India and Pakistan, respectively. Average annual production of chickpea is about 9 million tons with 95 percent of chickpea cultivation and consumption occurring in developing countries.

Comment [H8]: space and alignment

Chickpea is the most largely produced pulse crop in India, accounting to a share of 68 to 70 percent of the total area (13.20 m ha), production of 11.62 mt and productivity of 995 kg/ha respectively, this makes India, the leading chickpea producing country in the world. Chickpea ranks third among the pulse crops and accounts for 11.67 million tons annually. This ranking places chickpea behind beans (25.66 million tons) and peas (11.69 million tons) with a mean annual production of 11.67 million tons from 2013 to 2017 (Singh *et al.*, 2015).

Comment [H9]: space

Comment [H10]: space

Chickpea is attacked by 57 insect species, but *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), is the most important pest of chickpea (Sarwar, 2012). *H. armigera*, commonly known as cotton bollworm or American bollworm, is a major noctuid pest in Asia, causing heavy damage to agricultural, horticultural and ornamental crops (Talekaret *al.*, 2006).

Comment [H11]: space

H. armigera is the most serious pest of chickpea and other crop plants all over the world. In severe cases, it causes about 75 to 90 % losses in seed yield, and it was pointed out that gram pod borer damaged leaves, tender shoots, apical tips, floral buds and pods (Bajia, 2015). Among these, the major damage is caused by gram pod borer which is polyphagous in nature. *Helicoverpa armigera* is one of the serious pests of chickpea, which feeds on more than 150 crops throughout the world. Gram pod borer is widely distributed and a serious pest of chickpea causing heavy crop losses (20-60%) throughout India. *Helicoverpa armigera* is the major and most devastating pest of chickpea which can cause crop loss up to 80 per cent under congenial weather conditions (Vikrant *et al.*, 2018). While the later instars make a more or less circular hole in the pods and insert its head and former portion of body into it and feed upon the developing grains.

Comment [H12]: correct the reference

(Chitralekha *et al.*, 2018). Gram podborer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hub.) is the most damaging pest in most of areas where this pulse crop is grown. *H. armigera* is a charismatic and one of the most dominant insect pests in agriculture, accounting for half of the total insecticides usage in India for protection of crops. This pest damages the chickpea plants from seedling stage to crop maturity stage and its larvae can thrive on leaves, tender twigs, flowers and pods. In recent years, various types of insecticides belonging to different chemical groups have been used to manage the pests and excessive reliance on these chemicals has led to the problem of resistance, environmental pollution and health threat to the consumer. Thus, it has become essential to use the insecticides in optimal dosages so as to reduce and control the damage to environment and human health as well as to reduce the pest incidence. Hence an investigation was undertaken to evaluate the performance of certain chemical insecticides and bio-pesticides at their recommended dosages against diamondback moth in cabbage.

Materials and methods

The experiment was conducted at the Central Research Farm, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, during the Rabi season of 2023-24. The experiment was conducted in Randomized Block Design with eight treatments replicated three times. Variety PUSA 362 seeds were used in a plot size of 2 m x 1 m at the spacing of 45 cm x 15 cm, following a recommended package of practice excluding plant protection. The treatments were Neem oil 2% @ 2 ml/lit, *Beauveria bassiana* 1×10^{10} conidia/ml @ 2 gm/lit, Profenofos 40% + Cypermethrin 4%, @ 3 ml/lit, *Bacillus thuringiensis* @ 2 mg/lit, Indoxacarb 14.5 SC @ 0.5 ml/lit, Spinosad 45 SC @ 0.5 ml/lit, Emamectin benzoate 5% SG @ 1 gm/lit.

The numbers of larvae were counted on 5 randomly selected plants in each plot. The pre-treatment count was taken a day before the first spray and second spray whereas, the post-treatment counts were taken on 3rd, 7th and 14th days after each spray. The larval population over control against gram podborer was calculated by considering the mean of three observations of both sprays. The insect population was counted from randomly selected plants in every plot and population per 5 plants was noted. After that mean of three replications was calculated for each treatment and the same was done with the untreated plot. Healthy pods were harvested and their weight from each treatment was expressed as marketable

Comment [H13]: space

Comment [H14]: italic

yield in quintal per hectare. Ultimately, the cost benefit ratio was calculated on the basis of prevailing market price of yield, insecticides and spraying cost (Devi and Tayde, 2017). Gross return was calculated by multiplying total yield with the market price of the produce. Cost of cultivation and cost of treatment imposition deducted from the gross returns, to find out net returns and cost benefit ratio by following formula.

$$\text{Benefit Cost Ratio} = \frac{\text{Gross Returns}}{\text{Total Cost of Cultivation}}$$

(Thumar *et al.*, 2020)

Result & discussion :

The data recorded after 1st and 2nd spray revealed that all the treatments were significantly superior over the control. The data revealed that all the treatments were significantly superior over control after first spray. Among the different treatments least number of larval population of gram pod borer was recorded in Emamectin benzoate 5SG (2.57 larvae per 5 plants), followed by Spinosad 45SC (2.79), Indoxacarb 14.5 SC (2.90), Profenofos 40% + Cypermethrin 4% (3.08), *Bacillus thuringiensis* @ 5mg/ml (3.33), and *Beauveria bassiana* @ 1×10^{10} conidia/ml (3.50), Neem oil 2% (3.88), was found to be least effective among all the treatments as compared to Control (5.11)

The data revealed that all the treatments were significantly superior over control after second spray. Among all the treatments the least larval population of pod borer, was recorded in Emamectin benzoate 5SG (1.68), followed by Spinosad 45SC (2.06), Indoxacarb 14.5 SC (2.24), Profenofos 40% + Cypermethrin 4% (2.50), *Bacillus thuringiensis* @ 5mg/ml (2.75), and *Beauveria bassiana* @ 1×10^{10} /ml (3.00), Neem oil 2% (3.26) was found to be least effective among all the treatments as compared to Control (6.17).

The yields among the different

treatments were significantly superior over control. The highest yield was recorded in Emamectin benzoate 5% (29.16 q/ha), followed by Spinosad (26.66 q/ha), Indoxacarb 14.5 SC (25.83 q/ha), Profenofos 40% + Cypermethrin 4% (22.5 q/ha), *Bacillus thuringiensis* (21.25 q/ha), and *Beauveria bassiana* (17.08 q/ha). The treatment Neem oil 2% (13.33 q/ha) was least effective among all the treatments as compared to Control (11.00 q/ha).

Among the different treatments studied, the best and most economical treatment found was Emamectin benzoate 5%

Comment [H15]: space

with a cost benefit ratio of (1:3.65), followed by Spinosad 45SC (1:3.14), Indoxacarb (1:3.12), Profenofos 40% + Cypermethrin 4% (1:2.81), *Bacillus thuringiensis* (1:2.79), and *Beauveria bassiana* (1:2.26), Neem oil 2% (1:1.76) recorded minimum cost benefit ratio among all the treatments as compared to Control (1:1.54).

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table1: Efficacy of selected chemicals and bio-pesticides against gram pod borer on chickpea during rabi 2023-2024

Treatment	Treatment Details	Mean Larval Population										Yield (q/ha)	Benefit Cost Ratio
		First spray					Second spray						
		1DBS	3DAS	7DAS	14DAS	MEAN	1DBS	3DAS	7DAS	14DAS	MEAN		
T ₀	Control	4.60	5.00	5.13	5.20	5.11	5.11	5.60	6.53	6.40	6.17	11.00	1:1.54
T ₁	Neem oil 2% @ 2ml/lit	4.80	4.06	3.66	3.93	3.88	3.88	3.66	2.86	3.26	3.26	13.33	1:1.76
T ₂	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i> 1 x 10 ¹⁰ c conidia/ml @ 2gm/lit	4.73	3.66	3.40	3.46	3.50	3.50	3.40	2.60	3.00	3.00	17.08	1:2.26
T ₃	Profenofos 40% + Cypermet hrin 4% EC @ 3ml/lit	4.93	3.20	2.93	3.13	3.08	3.08	3.00	2.06	2.46	2.50	22.50	1:2.81
T ₄	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> @ 2gm/lit	4.80	3.60	3.13	3.26	3.33	3.33	3.20	2.33	2.73	2.75	21.25	1:2.79
T ₅	Indoxacarb 14.5SC @ 0.5ml/ lit	4.86	3.13	2.66	3.93	2.90	2.90	2.60	1.86	2.26	2.24	23.30	1:3.12
T ₆	Spinosad 45 SC @ 0.5ml/lit	4.93	3.06	2.53	2.80	2.79	2.79	2.40	1.66	2.13	2.06	26.66	1:3.14
T ₇	Emamectin benzoate 5% SG @ 1gm/lit	5.06	2.80	2.33	2.60	2.57	2.57	2.20	1.20	1.66	1.68	29.16	1:3.65
	F-test	NS	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-
	C.D. (P=0.05)	-	0.381	0.111	0.224	0.204	0.204	0.177	0.255	0.22	0.569	-	-

* DBS-Day Before Spraying, DAS- Day After Spraying, NS-Non-Significant, S-Significant.

The data on mean population after first and second spray revealed that all the insecticides were found very effective and significantly superior over untreated control. Among all seven treatments minimum larval population was found in Emamectin benzoate 5% (2.12) similar findings were reported by **Yadav et al. (2019)** and **Kambrekar et al. (2012)**. Spinosad 45 SC was found the next effective treatment with larval population (2.42) similar finding was reported by **Lavanya and Kumar(2022)** and **Gayathri and Kumar(2021)**. Indoxacarb 14.5 SC (2.57), Profenofos 40% + Cypermethrin 4% was found the next best effective treatments with the larval population (2.79) which was similarly to the findings of **Jadhav et al.(2021)**. Among all the treatments the higher cost benefit ratio was obtained from Emamectin benzoate (1:3.65) which is in support to the findings of **Shah et al., (2013)**, **Bharti et al. (2015)** followed by Spinosad 45 SC with a cost benefit ratio of (1:3.14) similar finding was found by **Nitish et al., (2015)**, **Keval et al., (2016)** followed by Indoxacarb 14.5 SC(1:3.12).

Comment [H16]: correct the reference as not same with the bibliography

Comment [H17]: correct the refernce

References.

- Bajia, R. and Bairwa, B. (2015).** Eco-friendly Integrated Pest Management of Gram Pod Borer (*Helicoverpa armigera*) (Hübner) in Chickpea Ecosystem. *Popular Kheti*, **3**(1):70-72.
- Bharti, P., Singh, S.P.N., Kumar, N., (2015).** Efficacy of some insecticides, bio-products and their phytotoxic effect against gram pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hub.) in chickpea. *Current Biotica* **9**, 247–255.
- Chitralakha, G. S., and Verma, T. (2018).** Efficacy of insecticides against *Helicoverpa armigera* on chickpea. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, **6**(3):1058-1061.
- Devi, H.D. and Tayde, A.R. (2017).** Comparative Efficacy of Bio-Agents and Botanicals on the Management of Diamondback Moth (*Plutella xylostella* Linn.) on Cabbage under Allahabad Agroclimatic Conditions. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, **6**(7): 711-716.
- Gayathri, L., and Kumar, A. (2021).** Field efficacy of certain insecticides against pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) on chick pea in Prayagraj. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, **9**(3):280-283.
- Jadhav, K. U., Chavan, A. P., More, S. A., Kulkarni, S. R., and Karande, R. A. (2021).** To study the efficacy of molecule combinations against gram pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner) in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*. **9**(6): 164-170
- Kambrekar, D. N., Somanagouda, G., Basavarajappa, M. P., and Halagalimath, S. P. (2012).** Effect of different dosages of Emamectin benzoate 5 SG and Indoxacarb 14.5 SC on pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* infesting chickpea. *Legume Research- An International Journal*, **35**(1):13-17.
- Keval, R., Yadav, A., Srivastava, C. P., and Kumar, R. (2016).** Evaluation of certain newer insecticides against pod fly (*Melanagromyza obtusa*) and pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera*) on long duration pigeonpea. *Research on Crops*, **17**(1):129-133.
- Lakshmikanth, R., and Kumar, A. (2018).** Comparative efficacy of selected chemicals and Biopesticides against gram pod borer [*Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner)]

(Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) on cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.]. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **7**(3):3307-3309.

Lavanya, V., and Kumar, A. (2022). Efficacy of certain chemicals against gram pod borer [*Helicoverpaarmigera* (Hubner)] on chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*; **11**(3): 1293-1297.

Nithish, A., Joshi, B., Jayaram, C. S., and Kariyanna, B. (2015). Bio-efficacy of Some Newer Insecticides against Gram Pod Borer (*Helicoverpaarmigera*) in Pigeonpea under Field Conditions. *Research Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **6**(3):563-566.

Qayyum, M. A., Wakil, W., Muhammad Jalal Arif, M. J. and Sahi, S. T. (2015). *Bacillus thuringiensis* and Nuclear Polyhedrosis Virus for the enhanced bio-control of *Helicoverpaarmigera*. *International Journal of Agriculture & Biology*. **17**(5):1043-1048.

Comment [H18]: not found in MS

Santhosh, K., and Kumar, A. (2022). Comparative efficacy of selected insecticides and neem products against chickpea pod borer [*Helicoverpaarmigera* (Hubner)]. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. **11**(6): 1558-1562.

Sarwar, M. (2012). Competency of natural and synthetic chemicals in controlling gram podborer, *Helicoverpaarmigera* (Hubner) on chickpea crop. *International Journal of AgriculturalSciences*, **2**(4): 132-135.

Shah, J. A., Inayatullah, M., Sohail, K., Shah, S. F., Shah, S., Iqbal, T. and Usman, M.(2013). Efficacy of botanical extracts and a chemical pesticide against tomato fruit worm, *Helicoverpaarmigera*. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture*. **29**(1) : 93-96.

Singh, P., Singh, R., Kumar, S., Kumar, V., and Kumar, S. (2015). Bio-efficacy of certainnewinsecticidesagainstlarvalpopulationofgrampodborer,*Helicoverpaarmigera* (Hubner)in chickpea.*TheEcoscan*,**7**: 315-318.

Talekar, N. S., Opena, R. T., and Hanson, P. (2006). *Helicoverpaarmigera* management: areviewof AVRDC'sresearch onhostplantresistanceintomato.*Crop Protection*, **5**:461-467.

Thumar, R. K., Zala, M. B., Varma, H. S., Dhobi, C .B., Patel, B. N., Patel, M. B. andBorad,P.K.(2020).Evaluationofinsecticidesagainstfallarmyworm,*Spodopterafru*

giperda(J.E. Smith)infestingmaize.*International Journal ofChemical Studies*,**8**(4): 100-104.

Vijaykumar, L., Anusha, S. B., Ashwini, S. B., and Divya, B. (2022). Bio-efficacy of *Beauveria bassiana* against gram pod borer, *Helicoverpaarmigera*Hubner (Noctuidae: Lepidoptera) in chickpea. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **11**(2):197-201.

Vikrant,D.R.S.,Kumar,S.,Kishor,K.,andKewal,R.(2018).Bio- efficacyofInsecticidesagainst*Helicoverpaarmigera*in Chickpea.*LegumeResearch*,LR-3960 [1-6].

Yadav, R. K., Chandra, U., Veer, R., Raj, A., Gautam, C. P. N., Kumar, S., and Singh, G. (2019). Relative efficacy of newer insecticides against gram pod borer, *Helicoverpaarmigera*. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **8**(4):599-601.

UNDER PEER REVIEW