

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_126888
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Incorporating Fish Protein Hydrolysate into Pasta: Impact on Protein Digestibility, Starch Digestibility, Amino Acid, and Fatty Acid Profiles
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is an interesting work that evaluates the incorporation of fish protein hydrolyzate (FPH) in semolina pasta, improving its nutritional profile. The results they obtained suggest that pasta with FPH is a more nutritious option compared to conventional pasta. In addition, it contributes to sustainability by taking advantage of fish waste, opening opportunities for innovative food products.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I agree	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	None	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Include more details in the subsections on paste preparation and amino acid quantification. Revise the Conclusion so that it summarizes the key findings and suggests possible practical applications of the research. The Introduction should clearly place the purpose of the research in the context of nutrition and food technology.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically sound and technically robust because it employs well-established research methods, uses appropriate analyses, and presents results supported by statistical evidence. Furthermore, it makes use of advanced analytical techniques and provides proper interpretation of the data.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	None	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Josselin Lisseth Triviño Bravo
Department, University & Country	Instituto Superior Tecnológico Ciudad de Valencia, Ecuador