

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_126245
Title of the Manuscript:	Novel fermented functional food utilizing green tea waste (used tea leaves)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -		

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Keywords should be selected based on the Mesh.2. It is necessary to write the introduction in more detail using the references of the last 3 years.3. Why has only one method (DPPH) been tested in the investigation of antioxidant properties? At least another test like total phenol (TP) should have been done.4. The results are correct and appropriate. It is only suggested that some results be in the form of tables.5. In the discussion section, the present study should be compared with the articles of the last three years.6. Some sources are too old (2001 to 2005) to be replaced with sources from the last three years.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shadieh Mohammad
Department, University & Country	Kurdistan University of Medical Sciences, Iran