

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_126507
Title of the Manuscript:	Morphological and biochemical variability of <i>Balanites aegyptiaca</i> fruit, seed and kernel from Burkina Faso
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (<i>Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :		

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<p>Balanites aegyptiaca, commonly known as the desert date, is a drought-resistant tree that produces fruit with significant nutritional and economic value. The morphological and biochemical variability of its fruit, seed, and kernel can vary significantly based on environmental factors, geographic location, and genetic diversity. Here's an overview of potential variability's observed in Burkina Faso:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. This is an emergent research field. Hence, it merits careful consideration.2. There is a need for the lingual editing and proofing of the manuscript.3. The introduction section introduces the study.4. There are only captions for these figures. Therefore, it is not possible to review these figures.5. There is need for structuring the manuscript in the lines of introduction, methodology, results, discussion, and conclusion.6. The methodology section is robust enough to allow the readers to replicate this study.7. The results are presented fairly. However, the figures are not included in this manuscript.8. The reference list covers the relevant core studies.9. This study has a great public importance as it studies the Morphological and biochemical variability effect of Balanites aegyptiaca.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amit Kumar
Department, University & Country	CSIR, Indian Institute of Integrative Medicine, India