

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_126801
Title of the Manuscript:	Citrobacter freundii pyothorax complicating tuberculous pleurisy in an immunocompetent patient
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Coinfection with Citrobacter freundii in tubercular pleural effusion is not a common entity in most of the countries of the world. Though, it can be found in immunocompromised patients, it is further rare in immunocompetent patients. Because of the nature of the disease and way of evaluation work-up, this is a good presentation.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No. Alternative title: Pyothorax complicating tubercular infection in an immunocompetent patient	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	1 st Sentence: Purulent tubercular pleural effusion is a severe form of tuberculosis. 2 nd Sentence: Tubercular coinfection with Citrobacter freundii in purulent pleural effusion is rarely described in the literature. 3 rd Sentence: Early recognition of this pleural coinfection and appropriate management are necessary for better outcome. 5 th Sentence: The present case highlights the challenges faced during diagnosis and management of this particular coinfection 6 th Sentence: Appropriate clinical and diagnostic collaboration is crucial for favourable prognosis.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Case Presentation: 1 st and 2 nd Sentence: This 55 year old farmer, known hypertensive got admitted for cough with mucopurulent sputum. It was gradual in onset and associated with dyspnoea. 4 th Para: omit non-specific antibiotic, write according to culture sensitivity. Dose 4.5 g, not mg	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Satisfactory	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Khan Abul Kalam Azad
Department, University & Country	Dhaka University, Bangladesh