

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Botany</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_126713
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Effects Of Artificial Ripening (With Various Concentrations Of Calcium Carbide) On Proximate And Physicochemical Composition Of Five Selected Climacteric Fruits Within Lagos State Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The toxic effect of Calcium carbide is well known. But, the parameters here do not really show the actual harmful or toxic effect.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The author can remove the words written in brackets in the Title.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract can be written as one para, instead of Aim, methodology, results etc. The abstract should mention the changes in the major values of parameters found after Calcium carbide treatment.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript shows the differences in the proximate & physiochemical characters of the fruit. The authors can remove the 2-3 paras written about effect of calcium carbide on ripening Time. Since the ripening time is well known. Thus, just mentioning the Ripening time is enough in 1 table.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Kindly use more recent references. Many references are of 2010,2014 etc.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language used needs a lot of revision. There are also numerous spelling mistakes and printing errors. Kindly get the correction done from 3-4 english speaking scientists.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Tripti Bhatnagar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>