

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Botany</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_126713
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Effects Of Artificial Ripening (With Various Concentrations Of Calcium Carbide) On Proximate And Physicochemical Composition Of Five Selected Climacteric Fruits Within Lagos State Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article gives information about the Nutritional and biochemical alterations in fruits caused by CaC2. also described about acute and chronic toxic consequences	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is appropriate	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is extensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Generally well written article, but I also suggested citing more relevant and recent literature.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article is not technically sound because no advanced methodology used in this article, but we can take this as awareness article related to food safety and security .	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Try to Add recent References not more than 5-7 years old	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Appropriate but also needs to fix some minor corrections in discussion it would be good to cite and relate to some other studies.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hafiza Mehwish Iqbal</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Karachi, Pakistan</b>