

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_126277
Title of the Manuscript:	Guided Inquiry: An Alternative Science Learning Technique in Improving Elementary School Students' Process Skills and Concept Mastery
Type of the Article	Research article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is highly valuable to the scientific community as it addresses an essential area of study that has practical applications in science education. The manuscript also stands out for its methodological rigor, making it a credible resource for researchers and educators alike. I find this manuscript particularly compelling due to its relevance, thorough analysis, and potential impact on policy and practice.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness through its well-defined research design, clear objectives, and thorough data analysis. The authors have applied suitable methodologies to address the research questions, ensuring the findings are reliable and relevant to the field. The statistical analyses and interpretation are sound, lending confidence to the results and supporting their contribution to existing literature. This rigor underscores the manuscript's technical soundness and makes it a valuable contribution.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	To strengthen the manuscript's foundation, it would be beneficial to expand the reference list from 22 to at least 30, incorporating more recent studies to enhance the contextual depth and relevance of the literature review.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality of the manuscript could be improved. Specifically, simplifying overly complex sentences would enhance readability, as direct and clear sentences are more effective in academic writing. Additionally, ensuring consistent verb tenses throughout the manuscript would help maintain a smooth flow, as tense switching can disrupt the reader's understanding.	
Optional/General comments	<p>This article addresses a significant topic within the field and contributes valuable insights to the ongoing discourse. The research design is generally sound, and the findings provide a meaningful perspective that could inform future studies.</p> <p>There are areas that require further refinement, particularly in the clarity of expression and grammatical consistency. Enhancing these aspects will strengthen the overall impact of the manuscript and make it more accessible to the scholarly community. Overall, this article has the potential to be a noteworthy addition to the literature with some revisions.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nik Aida Mastura Nik Abdul Majid
Department, University & Country	Universiti Kebangsaan, Malaysia