

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_125777
Title of the Manuscript:	Communication Behavior of Turmeric Growers in Chhattisgarh Plain
Type of the Article	Research paper

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Importance:</b> The manuscript provides valuable insights into the communication behavior of turmeric growers, helping improve agricultural extension efforts.</li> <li><b>Practical Value:</b> It highlights how farmers seek and use information, guiding better outreach strategies for agricultural innovations.</li> <li><b>Strength:</b> It offers data-driven insights into farmers' media and extension service usage.</li> </ul>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<b>Can be modified</b> "Information Access and Communication Behavior of Turmeric Growers of Chhattisgarh Plains"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Add objective clarity:</b> Clearly state the research objectives.</li> <li><b>Include methodology details:</b> Mention the data collection method and analysis approach briefly.</li> <li><b>Summarize key findings:</b> Highlight notable trends rather than focusing on exact percentages.</li> <li><b>Add practical implications:</b> Include a sentence on how the findings can improve communication strategies.</li> </ul> <b>Refinements:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove redundant percentages and focus on summarizing overall trends.</li> </ul>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Add sub-headings in the results section. I have reviewed the manuscript and suggested specific areas where these sub-headings are needed to improve clarity and flow.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript requires corrections to strengthen the methodology. Suggestions have been made in the manuscript comments to enhance the sampling process and ensure a more rigorous analysis. These improvements will increase the reliability and validity of the findings. Making these corrections will ensure that the research methodology is more robust	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Few citations are missing, and the existing references do not follow the required referencing style of the journal. It is essential to update the references according to the journal's guidelines and ensure that all in-text citations are properly linked to the reference list.	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nisha
Department, University & Country	Punjab Agricultural University, India