

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_125777
Title of the Manuscript:	Communication Behavior of Turmeric Growers in Chhattisgarh Plain
Type of the Article	Explanatory

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript has an interesting premise about the outreach of Extension facilities through the institutional mechanism. The idea is quite nice as extension is an area that still is not evolving to the extent it should and it is evident from the Data analysis as well.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is suitable, an Extension to the title may be added as : A case study from Extension Outreach Perspective	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is fine. In the abstract a line may be added about the initiatives the farmers are undertaking on their own through the social media platforms for gaining knowledge in Extension activities.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, they are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is a welcome addition to the volume of research pertaining to outreach of Extension activities. It is scientifically robust as the data collection is from a large number of farmers.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are fine, however as it is dealing in turmeric, few references pertaining to the curcumin content of turmeric, which provides better price realization can be added. There are ample research available highlighting the importance of curcumin as a value added proposition for turmeric growers.	
Minor REVISION comments	Language is suitable.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	A holistic view incorporating the advantages of curcumin would make the paper more robust.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nalin Rai
Department, University & Country	Jain University, India