

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_126739
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Paclobutrazol on fruiting of Mango in Ultra high density plantation:Effect of Concentration of the chemical and the application method (closeness to the main trunk).
Type of the Article	Short research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article presents a brief experimental report for Mango cultivators that examines the effects of nutrients on the growth and development of Mango trees.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is suitable according to research	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	This abstract is well given, but i want to add some comments. It is necessary to correct the abstract format, it is advisable to perform the correct format based on the instructions specified in the journal.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It is better to correct subsections and structure of the manuscript according to Journal Paper Template.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is necessary to correct the format of the text of this article, some words were added without separation, and two or three excess spaces were left between the others. Next, the tables should be adjusted based on the Journal's Requirements.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References paragraph should be Justified format.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	In this manuscript required minor revision. What/how revised is given manuscript main text with comments.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alisher Botirov
Department, University & Country	Uzbekistan