

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_125111
Title of the Manuscript:	Diagnostic Challenges in Hematological Malignancies in Nigeria and Their Impact on Treatment Outcome: The experience of a tertiary health institution in South South Nigeria
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript presented a complete picture related to Hematological Malignancies in south south Nigeria. It represents the constraints related to treatment of Hematological Malignancies in south south Nigeria It provides a better guidelines for better diagnosis of Hematological Malignancies in south south Nigeria	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Okay. Changed as suggested	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Should be changed as suggested Material method, questionnaire should be included Result should be extensive	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	More reference in Nigerian context should be included	
Minor REVISION comments	Changed as suggested	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kamdev Sethy
Department, University & Country	OUAT, India