

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_126581
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF SAFETY PROGRAMMES AND EMPLOYEE PRODUCTIVITY IN THE OIL AND GAS AND CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRIES IN THE NIGER DELTA REGION
Type of the Article	Descriptive and analytical manuscript

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This research paper is written is very good. Also, methodology is mentioned well defined. There are some points regarding the safety programmes importance included in the manuscript. Finding and conclusions also well written. Good	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<b>Yes definitely suitable</b> Good	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<b>No suggestions</b> Good	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<b>No required</b>	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Analysis is very good. Manuscript is written in short. This research paper is also mentioned in prepared based the oil and gas construction company. Good	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<b>Yes definitely</b> Yes	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good communications Yes definitely suitable for communication	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Overall manuscript is scientifically Wonderful	

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>M K.Ganeshan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>MIET Engineering college, Trichy( Anna University ), India</b>