

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_126696
Title of the Manuscript:	Challenges to Economic Development in Developing Countries: Case Study of Sudan's Economic Conundrum
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is valuable for the scientific community as it challenges conventional macroeconomic assumptions by illustrating that traditional indicators may not fully capture the economic dynamics of countries with unique socio-political and structural challenges, like Sudan. By highlighting the limitations of traditional economic models in such contexts, this study underscores the need for a more nuanced approach in economic analysis and policy-making.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is clear, concise, and accurately reflects the article's content, making it suitable for publication.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and effectively summarizes the study's objectives, methodology, key findings, and recommendations.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscript is scientifically robust, employing a rigorous empirical analysis with a two-decade time series (2002–2022) that thoroughly captures Sudan's economic trends and dynamics.</li> <li>2. The chosen methodology effectively analyzes the relationship between GDP growth and macroeconomic indicators, yielding valuable insights and clarifying the limitations of traditional models in this context.</li> <li>3. The study's conclusions are clearly presented, with interpretations that logically follow from the statistical results, while acknowledging relevant external factors like political instability and governance issues.</li> <li>4. To enhance technical quality, equations should be formatted using MS Word's Equation Editor or LaTeX Software, and a structural break test should be incorporated to identify any significant structural changes in the data over time.</li> </ol>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are relevant but need formatting in APA style. Adding recent studies on economic growth in politically unstable regions or on the limitations of traditional economic indicators in developing economies could enhance the review.	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. <b>Format References:</b> Ensure all references are formatted according to APA style for consistency and professionalism.</li><li>2. <b>Equation Formatting:</b> Use MS Word's Equation Editor or LaTeX to format equations neatly and professionally.</li><li>3. <b>Conduct Structural Break Test:</b> Incorporate a structural break test to identify significant shifts in the data over the study period, adding depth to the analysis.</li><li>4. <b>Expand Recent References:</b> Consider adding recent studies on economic growth in politically unstable regions and the limitations of traditional economic indicators to strengthen the literature review.</li></ol>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Akhil Sharma</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Central University of Himachal Pradesh, India</b>