

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_126865
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>“Response of Guava (Psidium guajava L.) to Pruning Intensities and Foliar Application of Nutrients on Growth Attributes”</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript is important for scientific community as well researcher. Through this manuscript researcher will get idea, and direction to do work on developing adoption techniques for farmers.This will also helps in enhancing farmers income.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	No	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes, but section numbers should be inserted.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Manuscript should be grammatically correct, headings, subheading should be systematic. Write the references as specified by the journal.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	They are sufficient. But still needed to add some recent references.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Generally, the manuscript is good but tabulations should be according to standard format. References also.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ravi Pratap</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Chandra Shekhar Azad University Agriculture and Technology, India</b>