

JournalName:	JournalofScientificResearchandReports
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_JSRR_126424
TitleoftheManuscript:	InfluenceofTraining andTomatoHybrids on, Yield ofTomatoUnder ShadeNetHouse
Type oftheArticle	

Createdby:DR

Checkedby: PM

Approvedby: MBM

Version:3 (07-07-2024)

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Tomato is a current vegetable crop. Examination of cultivation methods on different tomato hybrids in net house can be a source of important data in the years of global warming. However, certain shortcomings were noted in the paper, which will be presented in the following comments.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not, please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is appropriate, it indicates an interesting topic. However, the title is not fully consistent with the Abstract, Materials and Methods, Results and Discussion section.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is not comprehensive. It does not contain data on the method of shading plants, as well as the influence of shading on the morphological characteristics of plants and the yield of the mentioned hybrids depending on the method of cultivation.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections are well organized, the structure of the manuscript is satisfactory.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper is technically correct, as it contains all the necessary subsections, however, the listed lackings should be eliminated. Data presented in tables and charts are represented correctly and clearly.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are insufficient and not recent. In the last two decades, the scientific community has devoted a lot of attention to research on protecting plants from excessive solar radiation and high temperatures using the shading method. These references are available and would greatly improve the quality of this paper.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments	The Topic of the pepper is well thought out. In the Introduction, the key guidelines for the structure and aim of the work are presented. However, the cited literature is insufficient and mostly not recent. The lack of work is noted in the Materials and Methods section, and in the Results and Discussion section. Namely, the Topic of the paper and the Introduction indicate that the aim of the study is to examine two systems of cultivation of different tomato hybrids under shading conditions. However, the methodology does not explain the method of shading the plants, whether ordinary shading nets or photosensitive nets were used. The use of nets affects the microclimate of the protected area (air temperature, air humidity, airflow, soil temperature), affects the spectral composition of light (quality and quantity of light), which is reflected in the growth of plants, the formation of fruits, yield and quality of fruits. There is no data on the characteristics of the hybrids used in the research. There is no data on the application of agrotechnical measures. It is recommended that the article should be taken into consideration only after detailed adjustments of the data in the mentioned sections. It is also advised to correct and complement the cited literature and references.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lidija Milenković
Department, University & Country	University of Priština, Serbia