

**Review Form 3**

<b>Journal Name:</b>	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
<b>Manuscript Number:</b>	Ms_JPRI_126779
<b>Title of the Manuscript:</b>	EVALUATION OF ORAL HEALTH RELATED QUALITY OF LIFE & WELL BEING OF THE PATIENTS
<b>Type of the Article</b>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory REVISION comments</b>	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript highlights the oral health related quality of life of the patients which is very essential in the scientific community. However, the method section is not rigorously written. The methodology is not well explained. Whether ethical approval has been received or not, it has not been mentioned. Who are the study participants? Conclusion is not based on major findings of the study. Therefore, major revisions are required before publication	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is not clear. "Evaluation" term is written basically for experimental study. Who are the patients is not clearly mentioned. Alternative title can be: <b>ASSESSMENT OF ORAL HEALTH RELATED QUALITY OF LIFE AND WELL BEING AMONG PATIENTS VISITING THE DEPARTMENT OF ORAL PATHOLOGY AND MICROBIOLOGY IN A DENTAL COLLEGE</b>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The last sentence contains grammatical errors. Addition of what analyses were done in which software can be added	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Repetition of sections name is present like "Results and discussion" and "discussion" again	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I think that many grammatical errors are present, and methodology is not clear. Even the statistical analysis is not mentioned in methods section, so, major revisions are required before publication of this manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes. However more recent articles can be added to complete the literature review.	
<b>Minor REVISION comments</b>		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No, grammatical errors need to be corrected before publication for better understanding.	
<b>Optional/General comments</b>	Major revisions are required before publication of this manuscript.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sirjana Dahal</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Maharajgunj Medical Campus, Tribhuvan University, Nepal</b>