

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_125722
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of radio reconnaissance receivers designed on the basis of solid-state filters
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it explores the practical application of radio intelligence system.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes title of the article is suitable and useful for real world.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is generally comprehensive, as it covers the purpose of the radio intelligence system. However, a few suggestions could improve clarity and detail: 1. Abstract: Increase the size of abstract as it is not clearly defining all the aspect as you want to present. The size of abstract should be 250-300words.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes but subsections are not clearly aligned.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In this manuscript the author has been demonstrates well scientific robustness through its clear research design, well-defined methodology, and appropriate use of data analysis techniques.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The author can add new reference because the references are not a recent. Increase the conclusion size and present as a paragraph not in points.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Some sentences are slightly long and complex. Breaking them into shorter, clearer sentences would improve readability. 2. There is some improvement of language. 3. In Reference section it is not following any style (IEEE or APA). It should be present correctly. 4. Figures are not clear, request you to increase the resolution. 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sandeep Raj
Department, University & Country	Dronacharya College of Engineering, India