

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_126731
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Optimizing Micronutrient Management for Improved Growth and Yield of Broccoli Varieties in Coastal Bangladesh</b>
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Broccoli is going to be a very popular and commercial crops presently in this part of Asia continent. It is having several nutritional and health benefits. Apart from major nutrients and organic inputs it requires sufficient quantities of micronutrient such as B and Zn. The researchers rightly targeted to find out the requirement of B and Zn fertilizers for improvement of yield and quality of Broccoli in Bangladesh particularly in micronutrient deficient tracts. The manuscript is well written and scientifically presented the findings.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is well written and scientifically presented the DATA mostly in the form of graphs and histograms. Data are scientifically analysed; however, an economic analysis (C: B ratio) could have been incorporated which is essential for recommendation of any technology. The Agronomist and the Soil Scientist will require this information worldwide for referencing this publication.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Very well written manuscript.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Very nicely written research article; can be considered for publication with slight modification/incorporation as mentioned above.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>K. N. Das</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Assam Agricultural University, India</b>