

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_126586
Title of the Manuscript:	GENETIC DIVERSITY STUDIES IN LOCAL GERMPLASM OF SESAME (<i>Sesamum indicum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study titled "Genetic diversity studies in local germplasm of sesame" is considered completely innovative. However, it should not be forgotten that the scientific aspect of the study cannot be revealed without comparing and contrasting it with the literature and independent from the literature.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is Suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is not comprehensive but is sufficient for the readers at a basic level.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The title MATERIALS AND METHODS has been tried to be explained under a single title. The processes performed must have their own classification. Another researcher who wants to use the same working method, unfortunately, cannot benefit much from the title of material and method of this study.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Studies on Sesame Genotype and Genetic Variation generally contain high sensitivity. The number of studies in this field is expected to increase every day. Various fraudulent production techniques are being carried out on foods worldwide every day. Food safety has also become a threat to human health and life. With new crosses to be made on foods, human life can be made more controlled and reliable. The subject of the study is beautiful in this respect. However, the way the study is written contains major deficiencies within the framework of scientific knowledge.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	The references are not sufficient and not up-to-date (not from the last 10 years). Therefore, the Introduction heading and the conclusions heading contain very weak references.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language of the article is understandable and sufficient. No problems were observed in this regard.	
Optional/General comments	In general; the subject of the study is averagely good but the writing is very vague. Especially the Introduction and Material Method titles are very weak. However, this study is a type of study that requires financial support. Has support been received from any institution or university? If financial support was received, the institution should be thanked and the scope of support (project number etc.) should be explained. The Acknowledgements title should be added immediately before the references. PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kemal Suluk
Department, University & Country	Muş Alparslan University, Turkiye