

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_126557
Title of the Manuscript:	Yield performance of Pangasius sutchi with carps under composite fish culture in farm ponds of Dakshina Kannada, Karnataka
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	As per the title of the article manuscript seems to be important for the scientific community. However, the paper could not clarify the research objective. A few observation: a) The introductory part could not brief about the species under study like its habitat, feeding habit etc. b) The materials and method part of the paper is not able to state the experiment design. c) In the result and discussion author write down some unnecessary sentences which is ot necessary for scientific article.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Need modification. The term composite carp culture is a well established technology which has specific species combination. Hence, the composite fish culture cannot be written here. The title may be:  <b>Study on performance of <i>Pangasius sutchi</i> along with carps: A study in the Dakshina Kannada, Karnataka</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>No.</b> <b>The following lines are not necessary to the article in abstract section.</b> Fish is being the staple animal food in the district, people preferred marine fish in all the seasons. KVK has demonstrated several technologies in the district from the past two decades but composite fish culture in farm ponds has been one of the most effective to the farming community to accept, adopt and spread the technology.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>This manuscript need major change as it could not specify a few points like,</b> 1. <b>Feeding habit of cultivated species</b> 2. <b>No record of input applied</b> 3. <b>No record of FCR, which is important to study the growth performance</b> 4. <b>No details of cost of inputs for calculating total cost etc.</b>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<b>Author need to study and quote more references for significance of the current study. Review of literatures seems to be very less which impacted in structure of the paper.</b>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Ok.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sonmoina Bhuyan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Assam Agricultural University, India</b>