

Original Research Article

Soil physico-chemical responses to integrated nutrient management in Lentil intercropped with Bhimal (*Grewia optiva*) in Agroforestry vs. open systems

Abstract

The growing concern over health risks associated with the heavy use of chemical fertilizers and pesticides in India, which have been linked to rising cancer cases, underscores the need for sustainable agricultural practices. Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) offers a promising solution to enhance soil fertility and support crop health, especially for lentil cultivation within agroforestry systems. This study, conducted at Dr. Y. S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry in Nauni, Solan, Himachal Pradesh, examined the effects of INM on the soil's physical and chemical properties when lentils were intercropped within a *Grewia optiva* (Bhimal) agroforestry system in the mid-hills region of Himachal Pradesh. The experiment evaluated various combinations of organic and inorganic fertilizers, including T1: Recommended Dose of Fertilizer (RDF), T2: Farmyard Manure (FYM), T3: Vermicompost (VC), T4: Goat Manure (GM), T5: 50% RDF + 50% FYM, T6: 50% RDF + 50% VC, T7: 50% RDF + 50% GM, and T8: Control. These treatments were applied in plots both under the Bhimal-based agroforestry system (S1) and in open field conditions (S2), with three replications per treatment following a factorial randomized block design (RBD). Key physical parameters such as bulk density, particle density, porosity, and soil moisture were measured alongside chemical properties, including soil pH, electrical conductivity, organic carbon content, and the availability of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium. Data collected over two years was analyzed using R Statistical Software, revealing that INM significantly improved soil properties in the agroforestry system compared to the control. Notably, 100% FYM emerged as the most effective treatment, enhancing soil porosity, moisture, pH, and nutrient availability (N, P, and K). Improvements in soil structure and nutrient levels were particularly evident in the second year, positively impacting lentil growth and yield. The study highlights the potential of INM to promote sustainable, chemical-free agriculture in hilly areas, offering valuable recommendations for farmers and policymakers focused on sustainable agriculture. Specifically, 100% FYM is recommended for improving soil health and crop productivity in the mid-hill region of Himachal Pradesh, providing a model for similar agro-ecological zones aiming to reduce chemical inputs and support human health.

Comment [s1]: No abbreviation in Abstract

Comment [s2]: Remove abbreviation

Keywords

Agroforestry; Intercropping; Integrated Nutrient Management (INM); FYM; Soil fertility

1. Introduction

Cancer rates in India have exceeded global averages, leading to its alarming designation as the "cancer capital of the world." This increase is partly attributed to health risks associated with nitrate exposure from extensive chemical fertilizer use in agriculture. Elevated nitrate levels in the soil can contribute to conditions like methemoglobinemia and are linked to various cancers (1). Additionally, pesticide residues in food and water have been linked to numerous health problems, including tumors, skin issues, DNA and cellular damage, immune suppression, and even generational impacts (2). The extensive use of

chemical fertilizers and pesticides has also degraded soil health and reduced fertility (3). To counter these issues, there is a critical need to implement Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) and agroforestry practices in India. Many farmers heavily depend on chemical fertilizers to increase yields, often overlooking the consequences for soil health. Combining organic inputs, such as farmyard manure and vermicompost, with inorganic fertilizers is essential to restore soil vitality. This combination aids in transforming nutrients into more accessible forms, promoting efficient crop uptake compared to solely using chemical fertilizers (4). By integrating organic materials, farmers can reduce reliance on costly chemical inputs, thereby lowering long-term soil pollution risks and promoting sustainable agricultural practices.

Since the early days of agriculture, agroforestry has been valued as an effective land management strategy, known for improving soil quality and conserving water resources(5). It supports soil organic carbon enrichment through leaf litter, increases land productivity, reduces soil erosion, and promotes biodiversity, offering farmer's diversified income streams (6). Furthermore, agroforestry is essential for environmental conservation and soil resource replenishment, both critical for maintaining agricultural productivity in the long term.

Lentil (*Lens culinaris*), a member of the Fabaceae family, is widely used in soups and stews, with its foliage sometimes serving as animal fodder. Known for their high protein content, dietary fiber, B vitamins, iron, and phosphorus, lentils are a highly nutritious legume and one of humanity's earliest food sources. As global food demand rises, especially for protein-rich pulses like lentils, it becomes increasingly important to incorporate lentils into diverse cropping systems to boost agricultural output. Lentils are particularly valued for their resilience in adverse climates and their ability to enhance soil fertility through atmospheric nitrogen fixation.

Comment [s3]: Add references!!!!

Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) is a comprehensive strategy designed to boost lentil crop productivity and sustainability in India by effectively balancing organic inputs (such as vermicompost, farmyard manure, compost, and bio-stimulants) with inorganic fertilizers, including essential macro- and micronutrients [7]. The rice-lentil crop rotation, particularly significant in the Indo-Gangetic Plains (IGP) of India, plays a vital role in supporting food security in the region. Studies by Nayak et al. [8] demonstrate that various INM practices contribute positively to soil organic carbon (SOC) stocks and their fractions, thereby enhancing carbon sequestration potential and sustainability within rice-lentil systems. Using NPK fertilizers—whether solely inorganic or combined with organic inputs like farmyard manure, green manure, or crop residues—has been shown to increase concentrations of SOC, particulate organic carbon (POC), and microbial biomass carbon (MBC), along with their sequestration rates.

Comment [s4]: Please cross check with the No. 7 references

Comment [s5]: Year?

Comment [s6]: Please add references. You may not use this type of sentence without proper references.

The Bhimal tree (*Grewia optiva*) from the Tiliaceae family is widely valued in agroforestry across the Western Himalayas for its high-quality fodder [9]. This modest-sized tree, reaching up to 15 meters, thrives in subtropical climates at elevations from 150 to 2,500 meters. Known for its versatility, Bhimal is often planted along bunds, terrace edges, and slopes. Its green leaves and young branches provide excellent fodder, while its fruits are edible. The bark yields fibers used in rope-making, and its branches serve various purposes in crafting tools and household items. Traditionally, the green bark has been used for hair washing. Listed as Least Concern on the IUCN Red List [10], Bhimal is integral to mid-hill agroforestry in Himachal Pradesh, where it supports agri-silviculture systems.

The integration of organic resources like farmyard manure (FYM), vermicompost, goat manure, and poultry manure is increasingly vital in sustainable agriculture, countering the adverse effects of excessive inorganic fertilizer use. Prolonged and suboptimal use of chemical fertilizers has shown to degrade soil structure, harm the environment, and impact plant and animal health. Over time, such practices can lead to environmental pollution, reduced soil health, and stagnating crop yields [11]. Therefore, balanced application of both organic and inorganic fertilizers is essential to optimize soil and crop benefits while minimizing negative impacts. This study investigates how Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) affects the physical and chemical properties of soil in lentil intercrops grown within a Bhimal-based agroforestry system in the mid-hill region of Himachal Pradesh. Conducted at Dr. Yashwant Singh Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan, HP, India, the study spans the 2022 and 2023 crop years.

Comment [s7]: You used this sentence before please check this out. Please concentrate on sentence cohesion as well.

Comment [s8]: Why is this line on introduction?

2. Materials and Methods

Experimental Site

This field experiment was conducted at the Experimental Farm of the Department of Silviculture and Agroforestry, Dr. Y. S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan (H.P.), within a 19-year-old *Grewia optiva* agroforestry model. Situated at latitude of 30° 51' N, longitude of 76° 11' E, and an elevation of 1200 meters, the site falls within the subtropical, sub-humid agro-climatic zone of Himachal Pradesh, receiving an annual rainfall between 1000 and 1400 mm. The farm's soil is classified under the Typic Eutrochrept subgroup according to the USDA soil taxonomy.

Details of Structural Components and Treatments

Rows of Bhimal (*Grewia optiva*) trees were arranged in an East-West orientation with spacing of 9 m × 3 m, established in July 2012. Lentil (), variety Kota Masoor-2, was cultivated both under the *Grewia* trees and in open plots, each measuring 4 m × 2 m, with plant spacing set at 22 cm × 10 cm. Table 1 provides an overview of the treatments applied in this experiment.

Comment [s9]: ???

Collection and Preparation of Soil Samples and Analysis

Random soil samples were collected from each sampling unit at a depth of 0-30 cm using a post-hole soil auger prior to sowing and again at lentil harvest over two consecutive years. The samples were placed in labeled cloth bags and transported to the laboratory, where they were air-dried, thoroughly crushed, and passed through a 2 mm sieve. The processed samples were analyzed for physical soil parameters, including bulk density (g cm^{-3}), particle density (g cm^{-3}), porosity (%), and soil moisture (%), using standard procedures. Chemical soil properties—such as pH, electrical conductivity (EC in dS m^{-1}), organic carbon content (%), and available nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium (kg ha^{-1})—were also assessed. Soil pH was measured using a digital pH meter in a 1:2 soil-to-water suspension, following the method of Jackson [12]. EC was similarly measured using an electrical conductivity meter with a 1:2 soil-to-water suspension [12]. Soil organic carbon (%) was determined by the rapid titration method [13]. Available nitrogen was assessed using Subbiah and Asija's method [14], phosphorus was analyzed following Olsen et al. [15], and potassium was estimated by the Merwin and Peech method [16].

Initial Soil Properties of the Site

The soil physical and chemical properties of the experimental field estimated before start of the field trial is given in the Table 2.

Statistical Analysis

The field experiment was designed in factorial Randomized Block Design (RBD) in three replications with eight treatment combinations. The entire data of present study were statistically analyzed by using analysis of variance (ANOVA) in R Statistical Software and treatment means were compared by using critical difference tests at 5% of probability in accordance with the procedure described by Gomez and Gomez [17].

Comment [s10]: Which version?

Table 1 Details of INM treatments applied in the present experiment

Treatments	Details
T ₁	RDF (Recommended Dose of Fertilizer)
T ₂	FYM (Farm Yard Manure)
T ₃	VC (Vermicompost)
T ₄	GM (Goat Manure)
T ₅	50% RDF + 50% FYM
T ₆	50% RDF + 50% VC
T ₇	50% RDF + 50% GM
T ₈	Control

Table 2: Initial soil physical and chemical properties of the experimental field before sowing

Sr. No.	Parameters	Under Bhimal (S1)	Open Condition (S2)
1	Bulk Density (g cm ⁻³)	1.35	1.39
2	Particle Density (g cm ⁻³)	2.65	2.77
3	Porosity (%)	50.77	50.13
4	Soil Moisture (%)	7.72	6.93
5	EC soil (dS m ⁻¹)	0.191	0.178
6	Soil pH	6.76	6.41
7	Organic Carbon (%)	1.18	1.05
8	Available Nitrogen (kg ha ⁻¹)	250.20	221.47
9	Available Phosphorus (kg ha ⁻¹)	34.29	32.12
10	Available Potassium (kg ha ⁻¹)	267.11	234.51

Where, S1: Under *Grewia optiva* based agroforestry system and S2: Open condition

Comment [s11]: You don't have to write it in Italic form.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Physical Properties of Soil in Lentil + Bhimal Based Agroforestry Systems after Harvesting of Lentil

Comment [s12]: In your whole para there is no graph. Why always table? You must have to be prepare some graphs based on your table and it is mandatory!!!

T ₁	1.27	1.31	1.29	1.29	1.34	1.32	1.28	1.33	1.30
T ₂	1.20	1.24	1.22	1.22	1.26	1.24	1.21	1.25	1.23
T ₃	1.21	1.26	1.24	1.24	1.28	1.26	1.23	1.27	1.25
T ₄	1.26	1.30	1.28	1.28	1.32	1.30	1.27	1.31	1.29
T ₅	1.24	1.29	1.26	1.26	1.31	1.29	1.25	1.30	1.28
T ₆	1.22	1.28	1.25	1.24	1.30	1.27	1.23	1.29	1.26
T ₇	1.30	1.34	1.32	1.32	1.36	1.34	1.31	1.35	1.33
T ₈	1.33	1.39	1.36	1.35	1.41	1.38	1.34	1.40	1.37
Mean	1.25	1.30	1.28	1.28	1.32	1.30	1.26	1.31	
CD _{0.05}	S	0.01		S	0.01		Y	0.01	
							S	0.01	
	T	0.02		T	0.02		T	0.01	
							Y×S	NS	
	S×T	NS		S×T	NS		Y×T	NS	
							S×T	NS	
						Y×S×T	NS		

Note: S₁: under *Grewia optiva* based agroforestry system and S₂: Open conditions

Particle Density (g cm⁻³)

In both years 2022 and 2023, open condition exhibited higher particle density as compared to under Bhimal based agroforestry systems (Table 4). The combined data showed that year wise and various interactions found to be non-significant effect on the bulk density of soil. Among the organic and inorganic fertilizers doses, the maximum particle density (2.84, 2.80 and 2.82 g/cm³) was observed by T₈ (control) whereas, the minimum particle density (2.64, 2.60 and 2.62 g/cm³) occurred by T₂ (100% FYM) in both the years as well as pooled data analysis. Pooled data from both years revealed that among planting conditions, the maximum particle density of soil (2.73 g cm⁻³) was recorded by S₂ (open condition), while the lowest (2.69 g cm⁻³) occurred by S₁ (under *Grewia optiva* based agroforestry systems). Regarding various doses of integrated nutrient management (INM), the maximum (2.82 g cm⁻³) particle density of soil was observed by T₈ (control), whereas the minimum (2.62 g cm⁻³) was recorded by T₂ (100% FYM).

Comment [s15]: Not clear at all.

Table 4: Effect of planting conditions and integrated nutrient management (INM) on the particle density (g cm⁻³) of soil after harvesting of lentil (*Lens culinaris*) under *Grewia optiva* Drummond. based agroforestry system

Systems (S) \ Treatments (T)	1 st Year (2022)			2 nd Year (2023)			Pooled		
	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean
T ₁	2.78	2.80	2.79	2.72	2.79	2.76	2.75	2.80	2.77
T ₂	2.64	2.64	2.64	2.59	2.61	2.60	2.61	2.63	2.62
T ₃	2.65	2.68	2.67	2.60	2.62	2.61	2.62	2.65	2.64
T ₄	2.70	2.70	2.70	2.64	2.65	2.64	2.67	2.68	2.67
T ₅	2.72	2.74	2.73	2.67	2.70	2.68	2.69	2.72	2.71
T ₆	2.74	2.76	2.75	2.68	2.72	2.70	2.71	2.74	2.72
T ₇	2.73	2.79	2.76	2.69	2.74	2.71	2.71	2.76	2.74
T ₈	2.82	2.85	2.84	2.76	2.84	2.80	2.79	2.85	2.82
Mean	2.72	2.75	2.73	2.67	2.71	2.69	2.69	2.73	
CD _{0.05}	S	0.02		S	0.03		Y	0.02	
							S	0.02	
	T	0.04		T	0.07		T	0.05	
							Y×S	NS	
	S×T	NS		S×T	NS		Y×T	NS	

		S×T	NS
		Y×S×T	NS

Note: S₁: under *Grewia optiva* based agroforestry system and S₂: Open conditions

The difference in soil organic matter beneath a tree canopy enhances soil structure, probably because of the shade effect, which lowers evaporation losses. Since organic material inclusion lowers the density of the particles, as they are comparatively lighter than minerals. Furthermore, the presence of organic matter in trees increases microbial activity, which in turn creates solid soil aggregates with pore gaps that further reduce particle density. In addition, trees alter the hydrology of the soil by improving water absorption as well as retention through roots and leaf litter, which minimizes soil compaction. The present study result is also in line with the findings of Tandel et al. [24], who also reported a decrease in particle density beneath trees when compared to sole cropping. In general, 100% FYM treatment showed the lowest particle density, comparable to the 100% vermicompost treatment. On the other hand, when manure was not included or when the RDF was applied alone, the highest particle density was observed. Because compost and animal manure are high in organic matter, they improve the soil structure, which explains the decreased particle density after organic manure treatments. By acting as a binding agent, organic matter helps to create pore spaces between soil particles and stable soil aggregates. Abiven et al. [25] also reported similar phenomenon, which results in a drop in particle density under organic manure application.

Comment [s16]: Please discuss a little bit more with proper references.

Porosity (%)

The planting condition, integrated fertilizer treatments and cultivation year recorded significant effects on soil porosity (%) after harvesting of Lentil (Table 5). During the first year, second year and pooled data, soil porosity was reported higher by S₁ (under *Grewia optiva* based agroforestry systems) as compared to S₂ (open condition). Regarding the effect of fertilizer treatments, porosity was observed the highest by treatment T₂ (100% FYM), whereas the lowest porosity of soil was observed by treatment T₈ (control) in all the data sets. In the pooled data, different interactions between year and planting conditions (Y×S), year and treatments (Y×T), planting conditions and treatments (S×T) and year, planting condition and treatments (Y×S×T) was found to be non-significant for the soil porosity after harvest of lentil.

The soil porosity data presented in Table 5 demonstrated significant effects under both integrated nutrient management and planting conditions. When it came to planting conditions, porosity was shown to be higher under a tree canopy and lowest in open conditions. The percentage of soil volume that remains unoccupied by solid materials is best described by the porosity of the soil. The increase in organic matter under trees may be the cause of the soil increased porosity because it increases the microbial population, which also improves the hydrophobicity of the minerals, which increases inter-mineral cohesion and porosity. Tree roots also improve soil porosity by retaining and allowing more water to permeate the soil. The present results are also consistent with studies carried out by Sharma et al. [26] and Tripathi et al. [27], who reported increased porosity under tree canopies relative to open conditions.

Comment [s17]: Use more references!!!!

Table 5: Effect of planting conditions and integrated nutrient management (INM) on Porosity (%) of soil after harvesting of lentil (*Lens culinaris*) under *Grewia optiva* Drummond. based agroforestry system

Systems (S)	1 st Year (2022)	2 nd Year (2023)	Pooled
-------------	-----------------------------	-----------------------------	--------

Treatments (T)	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean
T ₁	46.98	45.29	46.14	48.42	47.24	47.83	47.70	46.27	46.98
T ₂	49.66	47.89	48.78	50.70	49.77	50.23	50.18	48.83	49.51
T ₃	46.99	45.75	46.37	49.08	47.68	48.38	48.04	46.71	47.37
T ₄	48.01	46.55	47.28	49.92	48.52	49.22	48.97	47.53	48.25
T ₅	47.03	45.55	46.29	48.62	47.45	48.03	47.82	46.50	47.16
T ₆	46.24	44.63	45.44	47.84	46.49	47.16	47.04	45.56	46.30
T ₇	47.99	47.50	47.75	49.68	49.22	49.45	48.83	48.36	48.60
T ₈	46.07	45.12	45.59	47.06	46.93	47.00	46.56	46.03	46.29
Mean	47.37	46.04	46.70	48.91	47.91	48.41	48.14	46.97	
CD _{0.05}	S		0.62	S		0.82	Y	0.52	
	T		1.24	T		1.65	S	0.52	
	S×T		NS	S×T		NS	T	1.05	
							Y×S	NS	
							Y×T	NS	
							S×T	NS	
						Y×S×T	NS		

Note: S₁: under *Grewia optiva* based agroforestry system and S₂: Open conditions

Soil Moisture (%)

The perusal of the data presented in Table 6 revealed that planting conditions and organic and inorganic fertilizers had a significant effect on the moisture (%) of soil after harvest of Lentil. The combined effect of treatment and planting conditions showed significant effect on the soil moisture with the maximum (16.76%) moisture content was recorded by S₁T₂ and the minimum (14.30%) by S₁T₈. However, the effect of various interactions between year and planting conditions (Y×S), year and treatments (Y×T), planting conditions and treatments (S×T) and year, planting condition and treatments (Y×S×T) was found to be non-significant for soil moisture after harvest of Lentil in pooled data set. In both the years and pooled data, soil moisture found to be higher by S₁ (under *Grewia optiva* based agroforestry systems) as compared to S₂ (open condition). Among different doses of organic fertilizers, the highest soil moisture (16.69, 16.83 and 16.76 %) was recorded by T₂ (100% FYM) which was significant whereas, the lowest soil moisture (14.16, 14.45 and 14.30%) was observed by T₈ (control) in all the data set.

Table 6: Effect of planting conditions and integrated nutrient management (INM) on the Soil Moisture (%) of soil after harvesting of lentil (*Lens culinaris*) under *Grewia optiva* Drummond. based agroforestry system

Systems (S)	1 st Year (2022)			2 nd Year (2023)			Pooled		
	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean
T ₁	14.77	14.15	14.46	15.07	14.64	14.86	14.92	14.40	14.66
T ₂	16.99	16.39	16.69	17.02	16.64	16.83	17.01	16.52	16.76
T ₃	16.94	16.34	16.64	16.97	16.57	16.77	16.96	16.46	16.71
T ₄	16.50	15.99	16.25	16.74	16.21	16.47	16.62	16.10	16.36
T ₅	16.20	15.21	15.70	16.18	15.65	15.91	16.19	15.43	15.81
T ₆	15.62	15.08	15.35	15.68	15.22	15.45	15.65	15.15	15.40
T ₇	15.41	14.80	15.11	15.59	15.08	15.33	15.50	14.94	15.22
T ₈	14.26	14.06	14.16	14.53	14.36	14.45	14.40	14.21	14.30
Mean	15.84	15.25	15.54	15.97	15.55	15.76	15.91	15.40	
CD _{0.05}	S		0.18	S		0.05	Y	NS	
	T		0.36	T		0.10	S	NS	
							T	0.01	

T ₁	6.94	7.33	7.14	6.96	7.16	7.06	6.95	7.25	7.10
T ₂	7.10	7.15	6.97	7.05	7.16	7.10	7.08	7.16	7.12
T ₃	7.12	7.13	7.13	7.35	7.29	7.32	7.24	7.21	7.22
T ₄	6.78	7.33	7.06	7.42	7.17	7.30	7.10	7.25	7.18
T ₅	7.19	6.97	7.08	7.09	7.10	7.10	7.14	7.04	7.09
T ₆	7.21	6.84	7.18	7.15	7.47	7.31	7.18	7.16	7.17
T ₇	7.20	6.93	7.07	7.20	7.45	7.32	7.20	7.19	7.20
T ₈	7.20	7.29	7.25	7.51	7.37	7.44	7.36	7.33	7.34
Mean	7.09	7.12	7.11	7.22	7.27	7.24	7.15	7.20	
CD _{0.05}	S	NS	S	NS	Y	0.11			
					S	NS			
	T	NS	T	NS	T	NS			
					Y×S	NS			
	S×T	0.38	S×T	NS	Y×T	NS			
					S×T	NS			
Y×S×T	NS								

Note: S₁: under *Grewia optiva* based agroforestry system and S₂: Open conditions

In comparison to open conditions, there was decrease in soil pH under agroforestry conditions. There are several reasons for lower pH of the soil beneath tree canopies. This effect is exacerbated by the yearly deposition of leaf litter, which breaks down and emits carbon dioxide (CO₂) and organic acids that lower pH levels in the soil. The metabolic activities of tree roots also emit organic acids, which adds to the acidity of the soil under tree canopy results of the current study were also similar to the Dash [19] who reported lowest values of soil pH under Poplar based agroforestry system as compare to sole cropping of turmeric. Conversely, distinct nutrient management strategies also have a significant effect on soil pH. The control had the highest pH, whereas the 100% FYM treatment showed lowest pH values, which were identical to the pH levels in 100% vermicompost treatment. The organic treatments have caused a decrease in soil pH, which can be ascribed to the acidifying effect of organic acids produced during the breakdown of organic supplements. The intricate relationships between organic additions, microbial activity, and soil pH variations are highlighted by this dual influence. These results are consistent with studies by Mehta [31] who found that the control plot with no manure had the greatest pH and that the lowest pH was observed with 100% goat dung, which was comparable to the values of pH in the 100% FYM treatment.

Comment [s19]: Please use more references.

Table 8: Effect of planting conditions and integrated nutrient management (INM) on the electrical Conductivity (dS m⁻¹) of soil after harvesting of lentil (*Lens culinaris*) under *Grewia optiva* Drummond. based agroforestry system

Systems (S) Treatments (T)	1 st Year (2022)			2 nd Year (2023)			Pooled		
	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean
T ₁	0.178	0.140	0.159	0.133	0.120	0.127	0.156	0.130	0.143
T ₂	0.394	0.294	0.344	0.349	0.271	0.310	0.371	0.282	0.327
T ₃	0.246	0.138	0.192	0.201	0.118	0.160	0.224	0.128	0.176
T ₄	0.276	0.144	0.210	0.231	0.124	0.178	0.254	0.134	0.194
T ₅	0.280	0.166	0.223	0.235	0.146	0.191	0.258	0.156	0.207
T ₆	0.298	0.171	0.235	0.253	0.151	0.202	0.276	0.161	0.218
T ₇	0.327	0.174	0.251	0.282	0.154	0.218	0.305	0.164	0.234
T ₈	0.168	0.128	0.148	0.130	0.117	0.123	0.149	0.122	0.136
Mean	0.271	0.169	0.220	0.227	0.150	0.188	0.249	0.160	
CD _{0.05}	S	NS	S	NS	Y	0.002			

	T	NS	T	NS	S	0.002
					T	0.005
					Y×S	0.003
	S×T	0.01	S×T	0.01	Y×T	NS
					S×T	0.007
					Y×S×T	NS

Note: S₁: under *Grewia optiva* based agroforestry system and S₂: Open conditions

EC Soil (ds m⁻¹)

The critical examinations of the data presented in the Table 8 revealed that both planting conditions and INM showed a significant effect on the EC of soil after harvesting of Lentil. The soil EC was recorded higher by S₁ (under *Grewia optiva* based agroforestry systems) as compared to S₂ (open condition) in all the data sets. Among different doses of organic and inorganic fertilizers, the maximum soil EC (0.344, 0.310 and 0.327 dS m⁻¹) was recorded by T2 (100% FYM) whereas, the minimum soil EC (0.148, 0.123 and 0.136 dS m⁻¹) was recorded in T8 (control) in all the data sets. Interaction effect of year and planting conditions (Y×S) had a significant effect on soil EC; maximum (0.271 dS/m) soil EC was recorded in Y₁S₁ and minimum (0.150 dS/m) soil EC was recorded in Y₂S₂ and significant effect the interaction combination between the system-planting conditions and treatments (S×T); maximum (0.371 dS/m) soil EC in S₁T₂ FYM -100% N equivalent basis (under *Grewia optiva* based agroforestry systems) whereas, minimum (0.122 dS/m) in S₂T₈ control-no manure (open condition). However, Interaction effect of year and treatment (Y×T), year, planting conditions and treatment (Y×T×S) showed a non-significant effect on the soil EC.

Regarding planting conditions, *Grewia optiva* based agroforestry system produced the highest electrical conductivity whereas, open conditions displayed the lowest. When compared to a single crop, higher electrical conductivity in agroforestry system may be caused by the buildup and breakdown of leaf litter, which improves the soil basic salt concentration. The breakdown of organic matter releases ions, including salts, into the soil solution, which increases EC. Tree canopies can also intercept and concentrate rainfall, which results in higher concentrations of salts in the soil surrounding the tree base. These findings are supported by Kumar et al. [28] who reported significantly higher electrical conductivity under *Grewia optiva* trees compared to open conditions. As a result, of the fertilizer treatments, T2 (100% FYM) showed the highest electrical conductivity, while T8 (no manure) exhibited the lowest. The application of nutrient sources was found to boost electrical conductivity, with organic manure registering the highest values among the nutrient sources studied in this study. The presence of soluble ions like potassium (K⁺), sodium (Na⁺), calcium (Ca²⁺), and magnesium (Mg²⁺) in FYM may be the cause of high EC under FYM. Secondly, FYM decomposes in the soil into simpler forms throughout the decomposition process, releasing ions into the soil solution and raising the EC. As compared to alternative nutrient sources, the application of 100% FYM produced maximum electrical conductivity, which is consistent with the findings of several other researchers like Kaushal et al. [32] who found that applying organic manure raises the electrical conductivity (EC) of the soil.

Organic Carbon (%)

The effect of planting conditions and INM showed a significant effect on organic carbon of soil after harvesting of Lentil (Table 9). During both the years as well as pooled data, organic carbon was recorded higher by S₁ (under *Grewia optiva* based agroforestry systems) as compared to S₂ (open condition). Among different doses of organic and inorganic fertilizers,

Comment [s20]: Please use this unit for SOC (meq/100g).

treatment T₂ (100% FYM) resulted the maximum organic carbon whereas, the minimum was recorded by T₈ (control) in all the data sets. The combined effect of planting conditions and treatment (S×T) showed significant effect on organic carbon of soil with the maximum (1.67%, 1.72% & 1.69%) by S₁T₂ and the minimum (1.33%, 1.36% & 1.33%) by S₂T₈ during both the years as well as in pooled data analysis.

The planting conditions as well as nutrient management had a significant effect on the organic carbon content of soil. The results unequivocally showed that, in contrast to single cropping, the maximum organic carbon was found beneath of Bhimal trees during planting conditions. The reduced oxidation of organic material in the vicinity of tree shade, the regular contribution of annual litter and the recycling of biomass and root exudates are all responsible for the improved level of organic carbon under the tree canopy. Furthermore, lignified cells can be found in the roots, bark and litter of trees, among other plant parts. The results are in conformity with the findings of Zahoor et al. [33], who found that agroforestry systems had increased soil organic carbon content than open conditions. Talking of different fertilizer applications, an advantageous sequestration of soil organic carbon can be attributed to the collaborative relationships among soil organic carbon, boosted microbial life and the enzymes involved in carbon cycling, which resulted in a higher organic carbon content noticed during the organic manure application. In addition, adding organic manure promotes soil health and highlights other advantages too of using organic manure for effective carbon sequestration and long-term soil management. These results are consistent with the study conducted by Mehta [31] which found that 100% goat manure had maximum soil organic carbon content (0.81%) than control plots (0.51%). Moreover, Garima and Pant [22] found that 100% FYM had more soil organic carbon (15.18 g kg⁻¹) than the control (13.80 g kg⁻¹). Tripathi et al. [27] observed greater organic carbon content under the organic manures as compared to inorganic and control.

Comment [s21]: There are a lot papers available on internet please follow these to use more references especially, for soil nutrients.

Table 9: Effect of planting conditions and integrated nutrient management (INM) on the soil organic carbon (%) after harvesting of lentil (*Lens culinaris*) under *Grewia optiva* Drummond. based agroforestry system

Systems (S) Treatments (T)	1 st Year (2022)			2 nd Year (2023)			Pooled		
	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean
T ₁	1.48	1.39	1.44	1.53	1.43	1.48	1.51	1.41	1.46
T ₂	1.67	1.49	1.58	1.72	1.52	1.62	1.69	1.50	1.60
T ₃	1.64	1.47	1.56	1.69	1.51	1.60	1.67	1.49	1.58
T ₄	1.49	1.44	1.46	1.54	1.47	1.50	1.51	1.45	1.48
T ₅	1.60	1.49	1.55	1.65	1.53	1.59	1.63	1.51	1.57
T ₆	1.58	1.44	1.51	1.63	1.47	1.55	1.60	1.45	1.53
T ₇	1.47	1.41	1.44	1.52	1.44	1.48	1.49	1.42	1.46
T ₈	1.37	1.33	1.35	1.42	1.36	1.39	1.39	1.34	1.37
Mean	1.54	1.43	1.48	1.59	1.47	1.53	1.56	1.45	
CD _{0.05}	S	0.01		S	0.01		Y	0.01	
	T	0.02		T	0.03		S	0.01	
	S×T	0.03		S×T	0.04		T	0.22	
							Y×S	NS	
							Y×T	NS	
							S×T	0.32	
						Y×S×T	NS		

Note: S₁: under *Grewia optiva* based agroforestry system and S₂: Open conditions

Available Nitrogen (kg/ha)

Result of the data presented in Table 10 reflected that the both planting conditions and INM showed significant effect on the available nitrogen (kg/ha) of soil after harvest of Lentil crop in Rabi Season. In all the years, the maximum available nitrogen was recorded higher by S₁ as compared to S₂ (open condition). Among different doses of organic and inorganic fertilizers, the maximum available nitrogen (372.11, 377.34 and 374.72 kg/ha) was recorded by T₂ (100% FYM) whereas, the minimum (315.38, 320.61 and 317.99 kg/ha) was recorded by T₈ (control) in all the data sets. The combined effect of planting conditions and treatment (S×T) showed significant effect on available nitrogen of soil maximum (385.02, 389.69 and 387.36 kg/ha) S₁T₄ under *Grewia optiva* based agroforestry systems with applied GM (100% N equivalent basis) (307.08, 312.87 and 309.97 kg/ha) in S₂T₈ (open condition) without manure-control during both the years as well as pooled data analysis. However, effect of various interactions between year and planting conditions (Y×S), year and treatments (Y×T), and year, planting condition and treatments (Y×S×T) was found to be non-significant for effect on available nitrogen (kg/ha) of lentil.

Table 10: Effect of planting conditions and integrated nutrient management (INM) on the available nitrogen (kg/ha) of soil after harvesting of lentil (*Lens culinaris*) under *Grewia optiva* Drummond. based agroforestry system

Systems (S) Treatments (T)	1 st Year (2022)			2 nd Year (2023)			Pooled		
	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean
T ₁	344.76	326.54	335.65	349.43	332.33	340.88	347.09	329.43	338.26
T ₂	370.40	373.81	372.11	375.07	379.61	377.34	372.73	376.71	374.72
T ₃	383.61	344.50	364.06	388.28	350.30	369.29	385.95	347.40	366.67
T ₄	385.02	350.91	367.97	389.69	356.70	373.20	387.36	353.81	370.58
T ₅	374.89	345.81	360.35	379.56	351.60	365.58	377.23	348.70	362.97
T ₆	368.17	336.94	352.55	372.84	342.73	357.78	370.50	339.83	355.17
T ₇	362.32	349.74	356.03	366.99	355.53	361.26	364.66	352.64	358.65
T ₈	323.68	307.08	315.38	328.35	312.87	320.61	326.01	309.97	317.99
Mean	364.11	341.92	353.01	368.78	347.71	358.24	366.44	344.81	
CD _{0.05}	S		3.64	S		4.32	Y	2.89	
	T		7.28	T		8.65	S	2.89	
	S×T		10.29	S×T		12.24	T	5.78	
							Y×S	NS	
							Y×T	NS	
							S×T	8.18	
						Y×S×T	NS		

Note: S₁: under *Grewia optiva* based agroforestry system and S₂: Open conditions

The results revealed that planting conditions and fertilizer application had a significant effect on the soil nitrogen content in both years. The data showed that the agroforestry system comprised of *Grewia optiva* trees accumulates the highest content of available nitrogen than the open condition (sole cropping of field crops). Nitrogen directly influences metabolic

processes that impact crop production and yield. Many processes, including quick decomposition of litter, reduction of nitrogen loss via the canopy, enhanced microclimate that reduces leaching and moderate rainfall intensity and regular nitrogen cycling, are responsible for the high nitrogen content underneath the tree crown. Additionally, the direct relationship between the amount of nitrogen in the soil and the organic carbon in the soil implies that higher levels of organic carbon in the soil lead to higher levels of nitrogen, highlighting the interrelated dynamics that affect the availability of nutrients in the soil. Bisht et al. [34] reported the highest available nitrogen in agroforestry system as that of sole cropping systems. The available nitrogen concentration under organic manures (100% FYM/Vermicompost/Goat manure) was higher than under other nutrient treatments. This could be because applying FYM supplies the earth with organic matter, starts a microbial-mediated breakdown process. This helps in humus formation, which acts as a reservoir for nutrients, especially nitrogen. Furthermore, the organic matter found in FYM is essential for reducing nitrogen loss through volatilization and leaching, improves the overall effectiveness of nitrogen uptake by plants. In, nitrogen is released from inorganic fertilizers contrast quickly, which causes losses from the system due to de-nitrification and conversion processes. Consequently, there is less nitrogen available in the soil. The current findings are consistent with the research conducted by Ghosh et al. [20] who found that organic manures raised the nitrogen level than the control (no manure) plot.

Comment [s22]: Use references.

Available Phosphorus (kg/ha)

The data regarding the effect of planting conditions and integrated nutrient management (INM) on available phosphorus (kg/ha) of soil after harvest of lentil under *Grewia optiva* based agroforestry system had been recorded and presented in Table: 11

Comment [s23]: Why same type text in all the passage? Please follow the procedure of scientific manuscript.

The available phosphorus was recorded higher by S₁ (under *Grewia optiva* based agroforestry systems) as compared to S₂ (open condition). Among different doses of organic and inorganic fertilizers, the maximum the available phosphorus was recorded by T₂ (100% FYM) whereas, the minimum was recorded by T₈ (control) in all the years. In general, the maximum (44.94 kg/ha) available phosphorus was recorded in the year 2023 in comparison to the year 2022 (41.60 kg/ha). The combined effect of planting conditions and treatment (S×T) showed significant effect on available phosphorus in soil maximum (46.77, 51.21 and 48.99 kg/ha) by S₁T₂ whereas, minimum (31.61, 34.27 and 32.94 kg/ha) in S₂T₈ during both the years as well as pooled data. The interactions between year and planting conditions (Y×S) showed significant effect on the available phosphorus in soil maximum (46.44 kg/ha) in Y₂S₁ (39.54 kg/ha) in Y₁S₂. However, effect of various interactions between year and treatments (Y×T) and year, planting condition and treatments (Y×S×T) was found to be non-significant for effect on available phosphorus (kg/ha) in soil after harvest of lentil.

The result reflected the planting conditions and integrated nutrient management had a significant effect on the available phosphorus content in the soil. It has been noted that agroforestry systems based on *Grewia optiva* produced a higher content of accessible phosphorus than the open conditions. The combination of organic matter decomposition, mycorrhizal associations, effective nutrient cycling, decreased erosion and accumulation of organic matter in agroforestry systems jointly supports a rise in phosphorus levels in the soil, supporting plant growth and overall soil fertility. This may be the cause of the increase in phosphorus content under agroforestry. Furthermore, when organic compounds break down in the soil, organic acids are released. These acids reduce metal ions, chelate with phosphates to form complexes and compete with them for exchange sites, all of which contribute to the enhanced release of phosphorus. The results of this study are also aligned with previous

research conducted by Kar et al. [9] which showed the superiority of agroforestry systems for achieving the ideal phosphorus content. In the present investigation, with respect to different doses of organic and inorganic manures, T₂ (100% FYM) had the maximum content of significant effect on phosphorus while, T₈ (no manure) had the minimum available phosphorus. The results of this study demonstrated that the application of organic manure treatments mobilized the available soil phosphorus concentration on its peak. This may be increased due to increase in organic acids that occurs during the breakdown of organic manures, which promotes the proliferation of bacteria and fungi, hence augmenting the soil nutrient availability environment. These results are in harmony with the finding of Kumar et al. [28], Garima et al. [22] and Ghosh et al. [20] who stated that the maximum amount of accessible soil phosphorus content was observed under organic manures as compared to the control (no manure).

Table 11: Effect of planting conditions and integrated nutrient management (INM) on the available phosphorus (kg/ha) of soil after harvesting of lentil (*Lens culinaris*) under *Grewia optiva* Drummond. based agroforestry system

Systems (S) Treatments (T)	1 st Year (2022)			2 nd Year (2023)			Pooled		
	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean
T ₁	37.19	36.49	36.84	41.64	39.15	40.40	39.42	37.82	38.62
T ₂	46.77	42.32	44.54	51.21	44.98	48.09	48.99	43.65	46.32
T ₃	46.45	41.79	44.12	50.89	44.45	47.67	48.67	43.12	45.90
T ₄	44.03	42.89	43.46	48.47	45.55	47.01	46.25	44.22	45.24
T ₅	42.99	40.66	41.82	47.43	43.32	45.37	45.21	41.99	43.60
T ₆	43.30	39.77	41.53	47.74	42.43	45.08	45.52	41.10	43.31
T ₇	41.94	40.77	41.36	46.38	43.43	44.91	44.16	42.10	43.13
T ₈	33.26	31.61	32.44	37.70	34.27	35.99	35.48	32.94	34.21
Mean	41.99	39.54	40.76	46.44	42.20	44.32	44.21	40.87	
CD _{0.05}	S	0.33		S	0.36		Y	0.30	
							S	0.30	
	T	0.67		T	0.72		T	0.60	
							Y×S	0.42	
							Y×T	NS	
							S×T	0.85	
						Y×S×T	NS		

Note: S₁: under *Grewia optiva* based agroforestry system and S₂: Open conditions

Available Potassium (kg/ha)

The perusal of the data regarding the effect of planting conditions and integrated nutrient management (INM) on the available potassium (kg/ha) of soil after the harvest of Lentil under the *Grewia optiva* based agroforestry system showed a significant effect and presented in Table 12.

During both the years, the available potassium was recorded higher by S₁ (under *Grewia optiva* based agroforestry systems) as compared to S₂ (open condition). Moreover, among different doses of organic and inorganic fertilizers, the maximum available potassium was recorded by T₂ (100% FYM) whereas, the minimum was recorded by T₈ (control) in all the data sets. In general, the maximum (233.21 kg/ha) available potassium was recorded in

Comment [s24]: ??

the year 2023 in comparison to the year 2022 (225.51 kg/ha). The combined effect of planting conditions and treatment (S×T) showed significant effect on available potassium in soil maximum (244.76, 252.87 and 248.82 kg/ha) under S₁T₁ whereas, the minimum (210.81, 218.10 and 215.45 kg/ha) in S₂T₈ in all data set. However, effect of various interactions between year and planting conditions (Y×S), year and treatments (Y×T) and year, planting condition and treatments (Y×S×T) was found to be non-significant for effect on available potassium (kg/ha) in soil after harvest of lentil.

Table 12. Effect of planting conditions and integrated nutrient management (INM) on the available potassium (kg/ha) of soil after harvesting of lentil (*Lens culinaris*) under *Grewia optiva* Drummond. based agroforestry system

Systems (S) Treatments (T)	1 st Year (2022)			2 nd Year (2023)			Pooled		
	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean
T ₁	244.76	202.05	223.41	252.87	209.34	231.11	248.82	205.70	227.26
T ₂	242.85	217.19	230.02	250.96	224.48	237.72	246.90	220.84	233.87
T ₃	229.05	221.17	225.11	237.16	228.46	232.81	233.11	224.82	228.96
T ₄	238.95	221.35	230.15	247.05	228.64	237.85	243.00	224.99	234.00
T ₅	237.97	218.67	228.32	246.07	225.96	236.02	242.02	222.31	232.17
T ₆	233.87	224.29	229.08	241.97	231.58	236.78	237.92	227.93	232.93
T ₇	227.71	214.86	221.29	235.82	222.15	228.99	231.77	218.51	225.14
T ₈	222.69	210.81	216.75	230.80	218.10	224.45	226.74	214.45	220.60
Mean	234.73	216.30	225.51	242.84	223.59	233.21	238.78	219.94	
CD _{0.05}	S	0.98		S	0.99		Y	0.77	
							S	0.77	
	T	1.97		T	1.99		T	1.54	
							Y×S	NS	
							Y×T	NS	
							S×T	2.18	
	S×T	2.79		S×T	2.82		Y×S×T	NS	

Note: S₁: under *Grewia optiva* based agroforestry system and S₂: Open conditions

The data of two consecutive years revealed that the soil potassium was significantly influenced by the planting condition and fertilizer sources. The increased potassium concentration beneath tree canopy can be attributed to the high mineralization, addition of leaf litter, which raises the potassium levels in the soil under the tree cover [39]. Potassium influences the efficiency of photosynthesis, modifies the activity of enzymes, and maintains the skeletal strength of plant membranes. Moreover, potassium optimization is essential for improving osmotic potential of plants and guarantees general resistance and flexibility to changes in the environment [35]. Thakur [36] also reported higher potassium content under agroforestry than the sole cropping system. However, among different manures and fertilizers, the available soil potassium was exhibited maximum under pure organic treatments *i.e.*, 100% FYM which was at par with vermicompost and goat manure, while the minimum was recorded in control. The increased potassium content in soils treated with organic manures, especially FYM, can be attributed to several factors. The application of organic manures leads to an increased release of potassium through the gradual decomposition of organic matter. This process is further augmented by the formation of humus, improved microbial activity and the enhanced cation exchange capacity of the soil,

collectively contributing to the sharp availability of potassium in the soil. Similar study was also conducted by Ghosh et al., [20] who reported that integration of organic and inorganic manures increases the potassium content of the soil as compared to RDF alone.

4. CONCLUSION

The findings of this study indicate that the physical and chemical properties of soil are significantly enhanced under Bhimal-based agroforestry systems compared to open cultivation when Lentil is intercropped within these systems. Data collected over two years demonstrate that agroforestry practices effectively improve soil conditions by decreasing bulk density, particle density, and pH, while increasing porosity, soil moisture, electrical conductivity, organic carbon, and the availability of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium. The use of Integrated Nutrient Management (INM), particularly the application of organic and inorganic manures, has a substantial positive impact on these soil properties. Specifically, the application of 100% farmyard manure (FYM) resulted in notable improvements in soil physical and chemical characteristics, including reductions in bulk density and pH, alongside increases in porosity, soil moisture, electrical conductivity, organic carbon, and nutrient availability. Furthermore, soil quality indicators showed greater enhancement in the second year compared to the first. Based on these findings, the use of 100% FYM is recommended for farmers in the hilly regions of Himachal Pradesh as a strategy for promoting sustainable agriculture and natural farming practices. This research provides critical insights for policymakers aiming to optimize crop production while preserving soil health in similar agro-ecological contexts, ultimately contributing to reduced risks for plant health and enhancing human health outcomes.

Comment [s25]: You have to be clearer on conclusion. Please don't follow AI used language at all.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declares that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

REFERENCES

1. Picetti R, Deeney M, Pastorino S, Miller MR, Shah A, Leon DA, Dangour AD and Green R. 2022. Nitrate and nitrite contamination in drinking water and cancer risk: A systematic review with meta-analysis. *Environmental Research*, Volume 210,112988. ISSN 0013-9351. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2022.112988>.
2. Pathak VM, Verma VK, Rawat BS, Kaur B, Babu N, Sharma A, Dewali S, Yadav M, Kumari R, Singh S, Mohapatra A, Pandey V, Rana N, Cunill JM. Current status of pesticide effects on environment, human health and it's eco-friendly management as bioremediation: A comprehensive review. *Front Microbiol*. 2022 Aug 17;13:962619. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.962619
3. Pahalvi HN, Rafiyya L, Rashid S, Nisar B, Kamili AN. Chemical fertilizers and their impact on soil health. *Microbiota and Biofertilizers, Ecofriendly Tools for Reclamation of Degraded Soil Environs*. 2021;2:1-20.
4. Wu W, Ma B. Integrated nutrient management (INM) for sustaining crop productivity and reducing environmental impact: A review. *Science of the Total Environment*.

2015;512:415-427.

5. Brown SE, Miller DC, Ordonez PJ, Baylis K. Evidence for the impacts of agroforestry on agricultural productivity, ecosystem services, and human well-being in high-income countries: a systematic map protocol. *Environmental Evidence*. 2018; 7:1-16.
6. Dhaka RK, Gunaga RP, Sinha SK, Thakur NS, Dobriyal MJ. Influence of tree height and diameter on wood basic density, cellulose and fibre characteristics in *Melia dubia* Cav. families. *Journal of the Indian Academy of Wood Science*. 2020;17: 138-144.
7. Kaur G, Singh I, Behl RK, Dhankar A. Effect of different integrated nutrient management approaches on growth, yield attributes and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Crop: A Review. *Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*. 2024;10(1):457-468.
8. Nayak AK, Gangwar B, Shukla AK, Mazumdar SP, Kumar A, Raja R, Kumar A, Kumar V, Rai PK, Mohan U. Long-term effect of different integrated nutrient management on soil organic carbon and its fractions and sustainability of rice-wheat system in Indo Gangetic Plains of India. *Field Crops Research*. 2012;127:129-39.
9. Kar S, Pant KS, Chandel A, Roshanzada SR. Trend of soil parameters under different spacings of *Grewia* based agroforestry system in the mid hill zones of Himachal Pradesh. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*. 2019;7(1):1904-1907.
10. IUCN. SSC Global Tree Specialist Group, Botanic Gardens Conservation International (BGCI), Lakhey, P. & Pathak, J. *Grewia optiva*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. 2022;2022: e.T150220524A152201442. Available:<https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.20221.RLTS.T150220524A152201442.en>
11. Mahajan A, Gupta RD, editors. *Integrated nutrient management (INM) in a sustainable rice-wheat cropping system*. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands; 2009.
12. Jackson ML. *Soil Chemical Analysis*. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd, New Delhi; 1973.
13. Walkley A, Black IA. An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter, and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Science*. 1934;37(1): 29-38.
14. Subbiah BV, Asija GL. A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soils. *Current Science*. 1956;25:259-260.
15. Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watanable FS, Dean LA. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate (No. 939). *USDA Circular*. 1954;939:1-
16. Merwin HD, Peech M. Exchangeability of soils potassium in the silt and clay fractions as influenced by the nature of the complimentary exchangeable cations. *Soil Science Society of American Proceedings*. 1951;15:125-128.
17. Gomez K.A, Gomez AA. *Statistical procedures for agricultural research*. John Wiley & Sons; 1984.
18. Lodh U. Effect of tree spacing and integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of cereals and pulses under *Grewia optiva* based agroforestry system. Ph.D. Thesis. Dr. Y. S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan. 2023;195.
19. Dash U. Phenotypic and functional traits of *Curcuma longa* in response to nutrient

- sources and *Populus deltoides* tree spacings. M.Sc. Thesis. Dr. Y. S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan, HP. 2020;144.
20. Ghosh S, Paul J, Kholiya D, Pareek N, Dwivedi GK. Effect of integrated nutrient management on some physical properties of soil under poplar based agroforestry system in Himalayan foothills, India. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2020;9:22- 25.
 21. Prasad R, Arunachalam A, Shukla A, Gupta A, Saroj NK, Tripathi VD. Field management practices in agroforestry systems influence organic carbon and biological properties of soil. *Agroforestry System*. 2023;97:1375–1390.
 22. Garima, Pant KS. Effect of integrated nutrient management and tree spacing on production potential of maize (*Zea mays*) under poplar-based agroforestry system. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2017; 6:2692-2697.
 23. Bhatt MK, Labanya R, Joshi HC, Nand M. Effect of long-term inorganic and organic manure on physical and biological properties of soil in Uttarakhand-A review. *ENVIS Bulletin Himalayan Ecology*. 2019; 27:49-54.
 24. Tandel MB, Kukadia MU, Kolambe BN, Jadeja DB. Influence of tree cover on physical, properties of soil. *Indian Forester*. 2009;3:420-424.
 25. Abiven S, Menasseri S, Chenu C. The effects of organic inputs over time on soil aggregate stability – a literature analysis. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*. 2008;41:1-12.
 26. Sharma S, Singh B, Sikka R. Changes in some physico-chemical characteristics of soils under poplar-based agroforestry system. *Agricultural Research Journal*. 2015;52:19-22.
 27. Tripathi P, Kashyap SD, Shah S, Pala NA. Effect of organic manure on soil physicochemical properties under fruit- based agroforestry system. *Indian Forester*. 2017;143:48-55.
 28. Kumar J, Thakur CL, Bhardwaj DR, Kumar S, Dutt B. Effects of integrated nutrient management on performance of bhringraj (*Eclipta prostrata* L.) and soil fertility under the *Grewia optiva* Drummond. canopy in a mid-hill agroecosystem of north western Himalayas. *Agroforestry Systems*. 2023; 97:711-726.
 29. Sarto MV, Borges M, Bassegio WLB, Nunes D, Rice MR, Rosolem CA. Deep soil water content and forage production in a Tropical Agroforestry System. *Agriculture*. 2022;12(3):343-359.
 30. Jagadeeshwari PV, Kumaraswamy K. Long term effect of manure, fertilizer schedule on the yield and nutrient uptake by rice crop in a permanent manurial experiment. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*. 2000;48:833-836.
 31. Mehta M. Effect of organic manures on growth, yield and oil quality parameters of lemon grass under wild pomegranate based agroforestry system. Ph.D. Thesis. Dr. Y. S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan, HP. 2023;138.
 32. Kaushal R, Verma A, Mehta H, Mandal D. Soil quality under *Grewia optiva* based agroforestry systems in Western sub Himalaya. *Range Management and Agroforestry*. 2016;37:50-55.
 33. Zahoor S, Dutt V, Mughal AH, Pala NA, Qaisar KN, Khan PA. Apple-based agroforestry systems for nbio mass production and carbon sequestration: implication for food security and climate change contemplates in temperate region of Northern

Himalaya, India. *Agroforestry Systems*. 2021;95:367-382.

34. Bisht N, Sah VK, Satyawali, Tiwari S. Comparison of wheat yield and soil properties under open and poplar-based agroforestry system. *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*. 2017;9:1540-1543.
35. Garima Bhardwaj DR Thakur CL Kaushal R Sharma P Kumar D, Kumari Y. Bamboo-based agroforestry system effects on soil fertility: Ginger performance in the bamboo subcanopy in the Himalayas (India). *Agronomy Journal*. 2021;113(3): 2832-2845.
36. Thakur P. Performance of *Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench (okra) and *Triticum aestivum* L. (wheat) under agrihorticulture land use system. Ph.D. Thesis. Dr. Y. S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan, HP. 2023; 125.

UNDER PEER REVIEW