

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ARRB_126133</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Expression study of Bmlipase in silkworm Bombyx mori midgut against BmNPV</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is related to the innate protective behaviour of silkworm against viral pathogenic agent. This work reveals the possible activity of lipase enzyme in combating the infections made by BmNPV. I like this article because it encompasses the possible way of immune mechanism shown through the production of an enzyme during the infective stages.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, No addition or deletion is required. The title is clear and justifiable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract of the article is well written as it includes the scientific problem addressed in this particular article and results obtained after experimentation. Suggestion: One or two key words should be added in addition to present key words.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The experiments were well designed and include the latest techniques and tools to analyse the results. Results are shown pictorially (Such as electrogram) and tabulated forms.  Suggestion: Table is not showing the unit of proteins examined. The article should include some significance tests to validate the experimental outcome.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Yes references are appropriate and no modifications required in this section.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Review Form 3

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Rohit Kumar Verma</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University, India</b>